760 MOSLEY STREET – ADA HOMES LTD. TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT REPORT



Prepared by:

Pinestone Engineering Ltd. Barrie Office 20 Bell Farm Road, Unit 1 Barrie, Ontario L4M 6E4

Phone: 705-503-1777

Email: pinestone@pel.ca Web: www.pel.ca

September 19, 2017 17-11290B - rev. 0

MUSKOKA | BARRIE

760 MOSLEY STREET – ADA HOMES LTD. TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRO	DDUCTION	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Purpose and Scope	1
2.0	REFE		1
3.0	EXIS	FING CONDITIONS	3
	3.1	General	3
	3.2	Topography	3
	3.3	Site Geology	3
	3.4	Drainage Conditions	4
4.0	PROF	POSED DEVELOPMENT	4
5.0	SANI	TARY SEWERS	4
	5.1	Existing Sanitary Servicing	4
	5.2	Proposed Servicing Strategy	4
6.0	WATE	ERMAIN	5
	6.1	Existing Water Servicing	5
	6.2	Proposed Water Servicing	6
7.0	STOR	M WATER MANAGEMENT	6
	7.1	Existing Storm Drainage	6
	7.2	Design Criteria	7
	7.3	Design Storms and Drainage Catchments	7
	7.4	Rational Method Results	9
	7.5	Storm Water Management Plan	12
	7.6	Storm Sewer System	16
8.0	EROS	SION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	16
	8.1	During Construction	16
	8.2	Monitoring and Maintenance	17
	8.3	Contingency Plan	17
9.0	SUM	MARY AND CONCLUSIONS	18

APPENDICES

- Appendix A Geotechnical Report prepared by Peto MacCallum December 2016
- Appendix B Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet and Fire Flow Calculation for Water Supply
- Appendix C Owen Sound IDF Rainfall Data
- Appendix D Rational Method Calculations
- Appendix E Sizing Calculations for Bioretention Cells, Soakaway Pits & Storm Sewer System Appendix F Drawings

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

ADA Homes Ltd. are proposing to construct a 14-unit townhouse development at 760 Mosley Street in the Town of Wasaga Beach. The property area is approximately 0.27 hectares in size and is legally described as all of Lots 37, 38 and 49, and Part of Lot 48, Registered Plan No.674, in the Town of Wasaga Beach, County of Simcoe. The property is bounded by 18th Street North to the north, existing commercial development to the south, Mosley Street to the south-east and Dunkerron Avenue to the north-west.

The site location is illustrated on Figure 1.

The property is currently vacant, and almost entirely covered with gravel and asphalt. Development on the property is proposed to include 14 three-storey townhouse units, each with a garage, paved driveway and a rear landscape amenity area. A paved main driveway will extend from Mosley Street through the site to Dunkerron Avenue, providing access to each unit.

1.2 Purpose and Scope

Pinestone Engineering Ltd (PEL) has been retained by the developer to prepare a Functional Servicing and Storm Water Management Report in support of site plan approval. The report describes the proposed servicing, storm water quality and quantity control strategy for the site.

2.0 **REFERENCE REPORTS**

The following reports and studies have been used for reference in the preparation of this Servicing and Storm Water Management Plan:

- i) Ministry of the Environment and Energy's Storm Water Management Planning and Design Manual, March 2003.
- ii) Sediment Control Planning Central Region Group, prepared by the Ministry of Natural Resources.
- iii) Town of Wasaga Beach Engineering Standards, March 2015.
- iv) Low Impact Development Manual prepared by Credit Valley Conservation and Toronto and Region Conservation, 2010



3.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

3.1 General

The subject property is currently vacant. The majority of the property is cleared of vegetation, with the exception of a small cluster of tree stands along the south property limit and two large pine trees along the north-east property limit. The remainder of the property is predominately covered with gravel and asphalt.

The site is currently serviced via two sets of water and sanitary services. The first pair extend from the 350mm dia. watermain and 200mm dia. sanitary sewer on 18th Street North to the north-east property limit. The second set of services are installed from the 150mm dia. watermain and 450mm dia. sanitary sewer on Dunkerron Avenue to the north-west property limit. There is an existing 350mm dia. watermain and 200mm dia. sanitary sewer on Dunkerron Avenue to the north-west property limit. There is an existing 350mm dia. watermain and 200mm dia. sanitary sewer installed along Mosley Street east of the property. A 300mm dia. storm sewer and catch basin are also installed east of the property on Mosley Street. Culverts and open ditches are located along the north-west property frontage.

3.2 Topography

The topography through the entire site is gentle, with elevations ranging between 181.10m in the central portion of the property to 180.40/180.50m at the north-west and south-east property limits.

3.3 Site Geology

A geotechnical investigation of the site was completed by Peto MacCallum in December 2016 in support of the original site plan which included units 1-7. Three test pits were excavated to a depth of 2.1m. The boreholes generally consist of 100-200mm of granular fill, a 0.7-1.3m layer of sand fill containing some silt, and a native sand layer below the fill extending to the depth of the test pits. Groundwater was not encountered in any of the test pits. The location of the test pits is shown on Drawing EX-1 – Existing Conditions Plan appended to this report.

Based on our review of the soils descriptions outlined in the *MTO Drainage Manual Volume 3, Chart H2-2*, we have classified the site material as a Type A under the Soil Conservation Service, hydrologic soil group.

In addition, we have reviewed geotechnical information prepared for a project at 878 Mosley Street, the sub soils are fine sand with a coefficient of permeability of 2×10^{-2} cm/sec, or 150mm/hr which is very permeable. This number will be utilized for the sizing of storm water infiltration measures.

A copy of the soils investigation and borehole logs is included in Appendix A.

3.4 Drainage Conditions

Drainage from the site splits and drains north-west to Dunkerron Avenue and south-east to Mosley Street in the form of overland sheet flow. Run-off to Dunkerron Avenue is collected by open ditches and is conveyed downgradient to an existing storm sewer system.

Run-off to Mosley Street is collected by an existing catch basin installed south-east of the site along the edge of pavement. A 300mm dia. storm sewer conveys drainage downgradient to the 18th Street South storm sewer system.

4.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development consists of 14 three-storey townhouse units, each with a paved driveway and rear landscape amenity area. Access to the units will be provided via a main driveway which will traverse the south property limit and extend from Mosley Street to Dunkerron Avenue.

Municipal water and sanitary sewer servicing will be extended to the site from the mains on Dunkerron Avenue north-west of the site. The existing services will be abandoned per Town guidelines. Low impact development practices have been utilized to provide both quantity and quality control of storm water.

5.0 SANITARY SEWERS

5.1 Existing Sanitary Servicing

A 200mm dia. PVC sanitary sewer currently exists along both Mosley Street and 18th Street North, flowing south-west and north-west respectively. An existing 150mm dia. PVC service lateral extends to the north-east property limit. A 450mm dia. concrete sanitary sewer which flows south-west exists along Dunkerron Avenue. A 150mm dia. service lateral has been extended to the north-west property limit.

The Town has indicated there are no servicing constraints in this area.

Existing sizes and locations of sanitary sewer infrastructure in the area of the subject site were taken from record plan and profile drawings provided by the Town.

5.2 Proposed Servicing Strategy

To achieve adequate frost cover on the proposed services, the development will be serviced by gravity sanitary sewers which will be extended from Dunkerron Avenue. The connection to the existing concrete main will be completed utilizing an approved manufacturers tee. A sanitary manhole will be installed at the end of the proposed 200mm dia. PVC SDR35 sanitary sewer reach, which will be installed at a minimum gradient of 1% per Town requirements. A manhole will also be installed at the right of way limit per

Town guidelines. Each townhouse unit will be serviced via an individual 125mm dia. PVC SDR28 service lateral installed to Town standards.

The proposed peak sanitary design flow for the development were calculated using Town of Wasaga Beach design criteria as follows:

- A residential average sewage flow of 350 litres/capita/day
- A residential population density of 2.6 persons/unit
- A extraneous flow rate of 0.28 litres/ha/sec

The proposed development concept includes 14 townhouse units with a population of 37 people. Incorporating extraneous flows, the peak sewage flow generated by the proposed development was determined to be 0.70 l/sec. The conveyance capacity of the downstream 450mm dia. sewer reach on Dunkerron Avenue installed at 0.12% is approximately 98.7 l/sec. The proposed peak flow from the development represents 0.7% of the capacity of the immediate downstream reach of sewer. It is expected that available capacity exists within the existing sewer infrastructure to support the development.

A copy of the sanitary sewer design sheet is included in Appendix B. The proposed sanitary sewer servicing is shown on Drawing SS-1-Site Servicing Plan appended to this report.

6.0 WATERMAIN

6.1 Existing Water Servicing

There is an existing 350mm ductile iron watermain installed on Mosley Street and a 150mm dia. ductile iron watermain installed on 18th Street North in the vicinity of the site. A 150mm dia. PVC watermain has been installed on Dunkerron Avenue north-west of the property. Currently, there are two water services installed to the property. 38mm dia. water services have been installed to both the north-east and north-west property limits.

Through pre-consultation with the Town, it was confirmed that a hydrant flow test would not be required given the small scale of the development.

6.2 **Proposed Water Demands**

The domestic water demands for the proposed development are listed in Table 1 below:

Domestic Water Demand						
Population	Per Capita Flow (L/day)	Peaking (based on MOE	ng Factors I IOECC Guidelines) (ows Sec)	
		Peak Hour	Maximum Day	Peak Hour	Maximum Day	
37	350	4.1	2.75	0.61	0.41	

Table 1

The fire flow requirement for this development was calculated based on guidance provided in the document "Water Supply for Public Fire Protection" prepared by the Fire Underwriters Survey. The fire flow for this development was determined to be 212 L/sec. The combined domestic and fire flow demand for the site is 212.4 L/sec.

It is advised that the Town confirm with their ultimate water model that a minimum system pressure of 140 kPa (20.3 psi) can be maintained in the event of a fire onsite based on the calculated demand above.

Detailed fire flow calculations are included in Appendix B.

6.2 **Proposed Water Servicing**

Water servicing for the proposed development will be provided by a new service connection to the existing 150mm dia. PVC watermain located within the Dunkerron Avenue right-of-way. A proposed 150mm dia. PVC DR18 watermain will be extended into the site along the proposed main driveway, and will terminate with a 50mm dia. blow off assembly for flushing of the line. Each townhouse unit will be serviced by a 25mm dia. PE Series 160 water service with curb stop extending from the proposed 150mm dia. watermain. Depth of bury will be 1.7m minimum and pipe embedment and backfill will be in accordance with OPSD 802.010. A minimum 1.5m horizontal or 0.5m vertical pipe separation will be maintained between sanitary sewers and watermains. The existing hydrant on 18th Street North is located within 90m of all units and will provide fire protection for the units.

The proposed water servicing is illustrated on Drawing SS1-Site Servicing Plan appended to this report.

7.0 STORM WATER MANAGEMENT

7.1 Existing Storm Drainage

Drainage from the north-west portion of the site drains overland to open ditches and driveway culverts which drain south-easterly along Dunkerron Avenue. Downgradient storm structures collect run-off and convey it to a downstream outlet via a 300mm dia. storm sewer. Drainage from the south-east portion of the site drains overland to an existing catch basin installed along Mosley Street adjacent to the south-east property limit. The catch basin collects runoff and conveys drainage downgradient to a series of storm structures via a 375mm dia storm sewer. A 600mm dia. storm sewer on 18th Street South conveys drainage downgradient to an outlet.

7.2 Design Criteria

Based on correspondence with the Town of Wasaga Beach engineering department and a review of the Town of Wasaga Beach Storm Water Management (SWM) guidelines, the following design criteria, in accordance with the MOEE SWM Planning and Design Manual (MOEE,2003), were established for the site:

Water Quantity Control:

• Peak flow attenuation for the 2-year through 100-year storm events to predevelopment rates based on the Rational Method using the City of Owen Sound's IDF rainfall data.

Water Quality Control:

- Water quality enhancement to a "basic" level of protection through the use of accepted control / low impact development techniques such as soak away pits, bioretention cells, enhanced grass swales and level spreaders.
- Preparation of detailed erosion, sediment control and construction mitigation plan to be implemented as part of the construction program.

7.3 Design Storms and Drainage Catchments

We have selected the 2-yr through 100-yr design storms as part of our evaluation.

The design storm parameters are outlined in Table 2 below:

Design Storm Parameters - Chicago Rainfall Distribution							
Dainfall Event		Parameter					
Rainfall Event	Α	В	С				
2 Yr	567.413	3.75	0.766				
5 Yr	809.360	4.50	0.778				
10 Yr	939.087	4.50	0.778				
25 Yr	1117.54	4.50	0.781				
50 Yr	1241.422	4.50	0.781				
100 Yr	1369.583	4.50	0.782				

 Table 2

 Design Storm Parameters - Chicago Rainfall Distribution

Rainfall intensity - duration frequency (IDF) values for the Owen Sound Area were entered into an equation that expresses the time relationship intensity for specific frequency, in the form of:

where:	i	= intensity, mm/hr.
	t	 Time of concentration, minutes
	a,b,c	= constants developed to fit IDF curve

The rainfall data is included in Appendix C.

The Town recommends the rational method be used to calculate the peak run-off rates generated from the storm events. The following equation was used to determine the flows:

where: C = runoff coefficient i = intensity, mm/hr A = area, hectares

The rational method is generally considered to provide a more conservative (ie. higher) estimate of peak flow rates than other hydrological simulation models.

Runoff coefficients provided in the Town SWM Guidelines were utilized to calculate composite runoff coefficients.

In order to determine the peak flows generated from the site, two (2) pre-development and fifteen (15) post-development catchments were delineated using the catchment parameters listed in Table 2. The pre-development catchment areas represent the existing conditions of the site. The post development catchment represents the proposed grading concept for the site.

The pre-development and post-development catchment parameters are listed in Table 3.

Catchments	Area (ha)	Slope	Composite Runoff Coefficient "C"		
Pre-Development					
101 – Drains north-west to Dunkerron Avenue	0.1200	1.0%	0.77		
102 – Drains south-west to Mosley Street	0.1500	1.1%	0.63		
Post Development					
201 – Road and individual driveway runoff to bioretention cell #1	0.0179	2.1%	0.86		
202 / 204 - 206 – Road and individual driveway runoff to bioretention cells #2 / #3 / #4 / #5	0.0121	2.0%	0.84		
203 – Road and individual driveway runoff to bioretention cell #6	0.0206	2.2%	0.69		

Table 3
Sub-catchment Parameters

760 MOSLEY STREET – ADA HOMES LTD. TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

207 – Road and individual driveway runoff to bioretention cell #7	0.0106	1.8%	0.75
208 - 222 – Roof drains from each unit to single soakaway pit	0.0074	1.0%	0.90
223 – Landscape common area	0.0687	3.0%	0.66

The pre-development and post-development catchment boundaries for the site are illustrated on Figures 2 and 3.

7.4 Rational Method Results

The peak flow rates from the 2 year to 100 year peak storm events are shown in Table 4 below.

Rational Method – Peak Flows							
	2Yr	5Yr	10Yr	25Yr	50Yr	100Yr	
Pre-Development (m ³ /sec)							
Catchment 101	0.035	0.044	0.051	0.067	0.081	0.093	
Catchment 102	0.033	0.042	0.049	0.064	0.078	0.089	
Total Pre-Development Runoff Rate (m ³ /sec)	0.068	0.087	0.101	0.131	0.159	0.182	
Post Development (m ³ /sec)							
Catchment 201	0.008	0.010	0.011	0.015	0.017	0.019	
Catchment 202	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.012	0.013	
Catchment 203	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.014	0.017	0.019	
Catchment 204	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.012	0.013	
Catchment 205	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.012	0.013	
Catchment 206	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.012	0.013	
Catchment 207	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.008	0.009	0.011	
Catchments 208 – 222*	0.046	0.058	0.067	0.088	0.098	0.108	
Catchment 223	0.024	0.030	0.035	0.045	0.055	0.063	
Total Post Development Runoff Rate (m ³ /sec)	0.111	0.137	0.159	0.208	0.243	0.271	

Table 4 Rational Method – Peak Flows

*Combined flow from all catchments

Pinestone Engineering Ltd.



PINESTONE ENGINEERING LIMITED | www.pel.ca

PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE CATCHMENTS

DRAINAGE CATCHMENT BOUNDARY

DIRECTION OF FLOW

PROJECT NO. 17-11290				
SCALE: 1:600	DATE: SEPT, 2017			
FIGU	RE 2			





760 MOSLEY STREET TOWNHOMES

POST DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE CATCHMENTS

PROJECT NO. 17-11290						
SCALE:	1:600	DATE:	SEPT,	2017		
	FIGU	RE	3			

DIRECTION OF OVERLAND FLOW

DRAINAGE CATCHMENT

BOUNDARY

POST DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE CATCHMENT ID 0.12ha 0.77 WEIGHTED RUNOFF COEFFICIENT

LEGEND

760 MOSLEY STREET – ADA HOMES LTD. TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Based on the calculated results using the Rational Method, it is expected that post development flows will increase as a result of the proposed development and increased site imperviousness. The Rational Method design calculations are included in Appendix D.

7.5 Storm Water Management Plan

Quantity Control:

As noted in the comparison of the pre-development and post development flows, an increase in run-off will occur as a result of the proposed development of the site to construct the townhouse units and associated access driveway and individual unit driveways.

To satisfy the selected design criteria, peak flow attenuation of post development flows to pre-development levels for all storm events up to and including the 100-year storm event will be provided by utilizing low impact development techniques including bioretention cells and roof leaders discharging to soakaway pits.

Drainage from catchments 201 – 207, which includes runoff from the main driveway and the individual unit driveways will be directed to bioretention cells, located in the landscape areas adjacent to the main driveway. Road / driveway grading will direct runoff to 1m wide curb cuts adjacent to each bioretention cell. The bioretention cells will provide reduction of peak flow rates through evapotranspiration and infiltration of runoff. The infiltration rate of the existing fine sand soil is estimated to be 150 mm/hr based on geotechnical information obtained for a neighboring development. Based on guidance provided in the "Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning Design Guide, 2010", prepared by the Credit Valley Conservation Authority (CVC) and the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA), it is estimated that bioretention cells can provide a 45% reduction in runoff rates when designed in accordance with these guidelines and when an underdrain is utilized.

Each bioretention cell will be 8.8m² (2.2m wide x 4m long), and has been sized for the 10 year water quality volume assuming a 48 hour drain down time and a soil infiltration rate of 150 mm/hr. The detailed sizing calculations are included in Appendix E.

Runoff from storm events greater than the 100 year event will overflow down the main driveway to the existing storm systems on Mosley Street and Dunkerron Avenue.

A maximum ponding depth of 150mm will be permitted in each cell. A 150mm dia. overflow pipe will be installed in each cell and will be connected to the underdrain. A 200mm dia. perforated underdrain wrapped in a filter sock will be installed for the cells between units 1-7, and has been designed for the 100 year storm event. The drain will be installed at 0.4% and will discharge to the existing downgradient catch basin #2 on Mosley Street. A 250mm dia. perforated underdrain will be installed for the cells between units 8-14, and has also been designed for the 100 year storm event. The drain will be installed at 0.4% and will discharge to a proposed ditch inlet catch basin #1 to be installed with the

Dunkerron Avenue ROW south-west of the site. A 300mm dia. storm sewer will be extended within the boulevard to the downgradient storm sewer system.

Drainage from catchments 208 - 222, which includes roof runoff only from each unit, will be directed to soak away pits to be constructed in the rear yard landscaped space (north portion of property). Roof drainage will be directed to the soakway pits via roof leaders, and will have an overflow disconnection to surface. The soak away pits have been designed in accordance with the LID Design Guide. Each pit will accept runoff from the rooftop area of one unit and will need to have a minimum footprint of $4.5m^2$ (1.7m wide x 2.7m long x 2.0m deep). The pits were sized utilizing the 10 year water quality volume assuming a soil infiltration rate of 150mm/hr, stone reservoir depth of 2.0m and a 48 hour drain down time. Based on guidance provided in the LID Design Guide, it is estimated that soak away pits can provide an 85% reduction in runoff rates. The detailed sizing calculations are included in Appendix E.

Catchment 223, which includes the rear landscaped yards of the units, a small section of the driveway (south-west portion), and the landscaped areas abutting the south-east and north-west property limits, will drain overland uncontrolled to the neighboring ROW's.

The proposed grading and low impact infiltration features are detailed on Drawing GP-1-Grading Plan appended to this report.

Table 5 summarizes the effectiveness of the proposed storm water low impact development techniques which serve as attenuation features based on the hydrologic model results.

760 MOSLEY STREET – ADA HOMES LTD. TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Table 5 Model Results – Rational Method							
	2Yr	5Yr	10Yr	25Yr	50Yr	100Yr	
Pre-Development (m ³ /sec)							
Catchment 101	0.035	0.044	0.051	0.067	0.081	0.093	
Catchment 102	0.033	0.042	0.049	0.064	0.078	0.089	
Total Pre-Development Runoff Rate (m ³ /sec)	0.068	0.087	0.101	0.131	0.159	0.182	
Post Development (m ³ /sec)							
Catchment 201	0.008	0.010	0.011	0.015	0.017	0.019	
Catchment 202	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.012	0.013	
Catchment 203	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.014	0.017	0.019	
Catchment 204	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.012	0.013	
Catchment 205	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.012	0.013	
Catchment 206	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.012	0.013	
Catchment 207	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.008	0.009	0.011	
Catchments 208 – 222*	0.046	0.058	0.067	0.088	0.098	0.108	
Catchment 223	0.024	0.030	0.035	0.045	0.055	0.063	
Total Post Development Runoff Rate (m ³ /sec)	0.111	0.137	0.159	0.208	0.243	0.271	
Post Development with SWM (m ³ /sec)							
Catchment 201	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.008	0.009	0.010	
Catchment 202	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	
Catchment 203	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.009	0.010	
Catchment 204	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	
Catchment 205	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	
Catchment 206	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	
Catchment 207	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006	
Catchments 208-222*	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.013	0.015	0.016	
Catchment 223	0.024	0.030	0.035	0.045	0.055	0.063	
Total Post Development with SWM Runoff Rate (m ³ /sec)	0.053	0.066	0.076	0.100	0.199	0.134	

Pinestone Engineering Ltd.

*Combined flow from all catchments.

Quality Control:

The primary objective of the storm water management plan for this development is to maintain acceptable water quality within the receiving storm sewer systems by maintaining existing site drainage patterns and providing low impact techniques for infiltration of road and roof runoff. In addition, protecting existing facilities downstream of the site from erosion and flooding is critical.

The strategy to reduce sediment load released from the site will be achieved through the implementation of low impact development practices which will provide water quality enhancement to a minimum 'basic' level of protection (60% TSS removal). For this development, we have incorporated the following elements:

- Provision of "soft" landscaping where feasible.
- Installation of splash pads at roof downspout overflow locations.
- Yard grading using minimal surface slopes to promote infiltration.
- Construction of bioretention cells to treat runoff from the main driveway and individual driveways.
- Construction of soakaway pits for rooftop runoff to infiltrate and evaporate all rooftop runoff.
- Suitable construction mitigation measures to be utilized during the site development.

Table 6 summarizes the proposed measures that in conglomeration will provide an overall TSS removal of greater than 75% which meets and exceeds the criteria outlined.

riepoodu / pprodon for Mator Quanty		Houthon			
Surface	Method	Effective TSS	Area (m2)	% Area of Site	Overall TSS Removal (%)
Asphalt Main Driveway & Individual Driveways directed to bioretention cells	LID Bioretention Cells	50%	975	36.1%	18.0
Rooftop leaders directed to soakaway pits	LID Soakaway Pit	100%	1,038	38.4%	38.4
Landscape Area	Filtration / Evapotranspiration	80%	648	24.1%	19.3
South-west portion of driveway draining to Dunkerron Ave. ROW	N/A	0%	39	1.4%	0.0%
Total			2,700	100	75.7

Table 6 Proposed Approach for Water Quality Treatment

Pinestone Engineering Ltd.

Detailed sizing calculations for the proposed bioretention cells and soakaway pits based on guidance provided in the LID manual are included in Appendix E.

7.6 Storm Sewer System

As part of the site development, a storm sewer is proposed to be installed within the boulevard south-east of Dunkerron Avenue. The storm sewer will collect and convey overflow drainage from the bioretention cells for units 8-14, as well as runoff from the east side of Dunkerron Avenue (67m of road / boulevard / front of lots). The proposed storm sewers have been sized for the 5 year design storm event. Two off road catch basins will be installed as part of the work, which will eliminate the need for the existing driveway culverts in the area of the proposed storm sewer. The boulevards will be re-graded to ensure a 150mm depression below the edge of gravel shoulder elevation and will provide a minimum slope of 2% from the property line to depression. The external proposed storm sewer network and grading notes are shown on the engineering plans appended to this report.

The design calculations are included in Appendix E.

8.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Sedimentation and erosion control measures are required during construction and until such a time that site development has been completed, the internal driveway has been paved and vegetation established.

The use of various siltation control measures will be implemented to protect the adjacent properties and receiving storm sewer from migrating sediments.

These works include but may not be limited to:

- Installation of a 1.2m high steel wire silt fence along the perimeter of the property.
- Filter cloth and stone placement over catch basins in the vicinity of the site until the driveways have been paved and landscaped areas have been vegetated.
- Installation of construction mud mat at site entrances.

The location of the sediment controls and typical details are shown on Drawing ESC-1 – Erosion and Sediment Control Plan appended to this report.

8.1 During Construction

Prior to carrying out site grading the siltation barriers and mud mat noted above shall be in place.

760 MOSLEY STREET – ADA HOMES LTD. TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORM WATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Other temporary installations of silt fence or other appropriate measures may be required during grading to minimize silt migration from the site. The measures will need to be removed, replaced and relocated as required during the construction period until the site works have been completed and vegetation established.

During construction all stockpiled material will be placed up-gradient of the siltation controls.

If site works are to continue through the winter and spring the engineer shall be contacted by the owner to review the measures in place with the contractor on a regular basis to ensure that the facilities are adequate and in good working order.

All reasonable methods to control erosion and sedimentation are to be taken during construction.

8.2 Monitoring and Maintenance

It is the responsibility of the contractor and owner to maintain the siltation control devices until suitable grass cover has been established.

A regular review of the facilities by the contractor shall be carried out during the construction period to ensure that the facilities are being properly maintained, and if necessary replaced. Inspection reports are to be completed weekly and distributed to the owner, contractor, and Town.

The contractor should inspect the siltation devices immediately after each rainfall. Damaged devices should be repaired immediately and additional devices installed if necessary.

Silt should be removed from the fencing when deposits reach approximately 250mm above original ground.

8.3 Contingency Plan

Should the erosion control measures fail and sediment migrate beyond the limits of the control works, the following tasks are required to be completed:

- The Town of Wasaga Beach, County of Simcoe and Ministry of the Environment should be notified of the event. The area will be assessed and cleaned up to the satisfaction of the agencies.
- Additional sedimentation facilities be installed in the area of the migration and down gradient to contain the sediment.
- The Ministry of Natural Resources should be contacted in the event that sediment reaches any adjacent water bodies.

9.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The findings of this report are summarized as follows:

- Sanitary servicing for the proposed development will be provided via a 200mm dia. sewer connection to the existing 450mm dia. concrete sanitary sewer on Dunkerron Avenue.
- Water supply for the site will be provided via a 150m dia. water service connection to the existing 150mm dia. PVC watermain on Dunkerron Avenue.
- Peak flow attenuation for all storm events will be provided utilizing low impact development techniques including bioretention cells and soakaway pits.
- Quality control for the development will be provided using low impact development techniques, soft landscaping and gentle grading across the site.
- Suitable measures can be implemented during construction to protect the adjacent properties and ROW's from migrating sediments.

It is therefore recommended that:

- 1) This report and drawings be submitted to the Town of Wasaga Beach in support of site plan approval.
- 2) The construction mitigation measures outlined in this report are utilized as a guideline for construction mitigation management on this site.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

PINESTONE ENGINEERING LTD.

Brighto South

Brigitte South, C.E.T.



Joe Voisin, P.Eng.,

APPENDIX A

Geotechnical Report prepared by Peto MacCallum – December 2016





TEST PIT INVESTIGATION SEVEN TOWNHOMES 760 MOSLEY STREET WASAGA BEACH, ONTARIO for ADA HOMES LTD.

PETO MacCALLUM LTD. 19 CHURCHILL DRIVE BARRIE, ONTARIO L4N 8Z5 PHONE: (705) 734-3900 FAX: (705) 734-9911 EMAIL: barrie@petomaccallum.com

Distribution: 2 cc: ADA Homes Ltd. (+email) 1 cc: PML Barrie

PML Ref.: 16BF078 Report: 1 December 2016



December 7, 2016

PML Ref.: 16BF078 Report: 1

Mr. Andrew Adamak ADA Homes Ltd. 1 Channen Court Barrie, Ontario L4M 6T4

Dear Mr. Adamak

Test Pit Investigation Seven Townhomes 760 Mosley Street Wasaga Beach, Ontario

Peto MacCallum Ltd. (PML) is pleased to present the results of the geotechnical investigation recently completed at the above noted project site. Authorization for the work was provided by Mr. A. Adamak, verbally, and by reception of a full retainer.

It is understood that the property at 760 Mosley Street is slated for a seven unit townhouse development. The building will be three stories slab-on-grade, without basement. PML was requested to attend the site to witness and log test pits to be excavated around the proposed building. It is understood that a furniture store previously occupied the now vacant site, which has since been demolished and removed.

The purpose of this investigation was to explore the subsurface soil and ground water conditions at the site, and based on the findings, provide comments and geotechnical recommendations for foundation design.

Geoenvironmental assessment of the site, observations, recording, testing or assessment of the environmental conditions of the site and ground water was not within the Terms of Reference and no work has been carried out in this regard. If excess materials requiring off-site disposal are generated during construction, a program of soil sampling and testing will be needed to determine the chemical properties of the material and evaluate options for off-site disposal.

The comments provided in this report are based on the site conditions at the time of the investigation, and are applicable only to the proposed works as described in the report. Any changes in plans, will require review by PML to assess the applicability of the report, and may require modified recommendations, additional analysis and/or investigation.



INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES

The field work for this investigation was carried out on December 2, 2016 and consisted of Test Pits 1 to 3 excavated to 1.7 to 2.1 m depth around the proposed townhome at the locations as shown on Drawing 1, attached.

Co-ordination of clearances of public and private underground utilities was provided by the Client.

The test pits were advanced using a rubber track miniature excavator, supplied and operated by a local excavating contractor working under the direction of the Client.

Ground water conditions in the test pits were closely monitored during the course of the field work.

The test pit locations were established in the field by PML, after consultation with the Client, and cognizant of existing underground utilities.

The surface elevation of the test pit was established based on a Temporary Bench Mark (BM) provided by the client, described as follows:

TBM: Temporary Bench MarkTop of Top Nut of Fire Hydrant, at North Corner of SiteElevation 100.00 (Metric, Assigned)



SUMMARIZED SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Reference is made to the appended Log of Test Pit sheets for details of the subsurface conditions, including soil classifications, and ground water observations.

A 100 to 200 mm layer of granular base was encountered at the surface of all three test pits.

Below the granular base, sand fill was observed to 0.7 to 1.3 m depth (elevation 97.7 to 98.35). Trace organics were noted in Test Pit 1; plastic pieces in Test Pit 2; and topsoil pockets, roots, concrete blocks, pipe pieces and bricks were observed in Test Pit 3.

Below the fill in all three test pits, a native sand deposit was encountered to the 1.7 to 2.1 m depth of excavation. The sand was judged to be compact and was observed to be moist.

Upon completion of excavation, no water or wet cave was observed in any of the test pits.

Ground water levels will fluctuate seasonally, and in response to variations in precipitation.



GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS

It is understood that the property at 760 Mosley Street is slated for a seven unit townhouse development. The building will be three stories slab-on-grade with no basement. The site is pictured below:



<u>Photograph 1</u> – The site, view from the east corner, looking northwest. It is understood that a furniture store previously occupied the now vacant site, which has since been demolished and removed.





<u>Photograph 2</u> - Test Pit 3 showing upper fill containing construction debris.

It is assumed that the finished floor slab-on-grade will be just above existing ground grade, with exterior footings at about 1.5 m depth, cognizant of the normal earth cover for frost protection, and interior footings at about 0.5 m depth.

Based on the test pits, there is existing fill to 0.7 to 1.3 m depth, with compact native sand below. The upper existing fill is not suitable for supporting footings or floor slab-on-grade.

It is necessary to remove all existing fill down to the native soil (encountered at 0.7 to 1.3 m depth in the test pits) and replace it with engineered fill, comprising select soil placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compaction to 100% Standard Proctor maximum dry density.

It is cautioned that, due to the old furniture store that was demolished, the extent of fill and construction debris could be variable across the site.



The engineered fill pad must extend at least 1 m beyond the edge of the perimeter footings, then downward at no steeper than 1 Horizontal: 1 Vertical, to meet the underlying native soil.

Excavated site soil will be suitable for reuse on a select basis only, subject to moisture content control and exclusion of organics, construction debris and other deleterious materials.

Full time field review by geotechnical personnel will be needed to approve subgrade preparation, backfill materials, placement procedures and ensure the specified compaction is achieved throughout.

Reference is made in Appendix A for General Guidelines for Engineered Fill Construciton.

Provided the site is improved with engineered fill as discussed above, then the building can be supported on standard spread and strip footings at normal design depth, founded on engineered fill or native soil, whichever is first encountered. A geotechnical bearing resistance at SLS of 150 kPa and factored geotechnical resistance at ULS of 225 kPa are available for design.

The geotechnical bearing resistance at SLS is based on 25 mm of settlement in the bearing stratum. Differential settlement should not exceed 75% of this value.

Footings subject to frost action should be provided with 1.2 m of earth cover or equivalent.

Prior to placement of concrete for footings, the subgrade surface must be examined by PML to check the design bearing capacity is available and/or assess areas where a reducing bearing capacity may be necessitated.

Floor slab-on-grade can be constructed on the engineered fill. A minimum of 150 mm of clear stone (19 mm nominal size) or Granular A compacted to 100% Standard Proctor maximum dry density is recommended as a moisture barrier under the concrete floor slab. The floor slab should be at least 150 mm above the outside ground grade, which should be sloped to promote surface drainage away from the building.



The site soils should be considered as Type 3 soil requiring excavation sidewalls to be constructed at no steeper than one horizontal to one vertical (1H:1V) from the base of the excavation in accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Act.

Ground water was not encountered during excavation of the test pits. Accordingly, conventional sump pumping techniques should be adequate to handle any nuisance ground water seepage quantities, if encountered.

The comments and recommendations provided in the report are based on the information revealed in the test pits. Conditions away from the test pits may vary, particularly where service trenches exist. Geotechnical review during construction should be on going to confirm the subsurface conditions are substantially similar to those encountered in the test pit, which may otherwise require modification to the original recommendations.



CLOSURE

We trust this report is complete within our terms of reference, and the information presented is sufficient for your present purposes. If you have any questions, or when we may be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to call our office.

Sincerely

Peto MacCallum Ltd.

PROFESSION LICENSE R. R. BLAIR 100222748 BOWNCE OF ON

Richard Blair, P.Eng. Project Engineer



Turney Lee-Bun, P.Eng. Vice-President

RB/TLB:tc

Enclosures: List of Abbreviations Log of Test Pit Nos. 1 to 3 Drawing 1 - Test Pit Location Plan Appendix A – Guidelines for Engineered Fill



PENETRATION RESISTANCE

Standard Penetration Resistance N: - The number of blows required to advance a standard split spoon sampler 0.3 m into the subsoil. Driven by means of a 63.5 kg hammer falling freely a distance of 0.76 m.

Dynamic Penetration Resistance: - The number of blows required to advance a 51 mm, 60 degree cone, fitted to the end of drill rods, 0.3 m into the subsoil. The driving energy being 475 J per blow.

DESCRIPTION OF SOIL

The consistency of cohesive soils and the relative density or denseness of cohesionless soils are described in the following terms:

<u>CONSISTE</u>	<u>NCY</u> <u>N (blows/0.3 m)</u>	<u>c (kPa)</u>	<u>DENSENESS</u>	<u>N (blows/0.3 m)</u>
Very Soft	0 - 2	0 - 12	Very Loose	0 - 4
Soft	2 - 4	12 - 25	Loose	4 - 10
Firm	4 - 8	25 - 50	Compact	10 - 30
Stiff	8 - 15	50 - 100	Dense	30 - 50
Very Stiff	15 - 30	100 - 200	Very Dense	> 50
Hard	> 30	> 200		
WTPL	Wetter Than Plastic Limit			
APL	About Plastic Limit			
DTPL	Drier Than Plastic Limit			

TYPE OF SAMPLE

SS	Split Spoon	ST	Slotted Tube Sample
WS	Washed Sample	TW	Thinwall Open
SB	Scraper Bucket Sample	TP	Thinwall Piston
AS	Auger Sample	OS	Oesterberg Sample
CS	Chunk Sample	FS	Foil Sample
GS	Grab Sample	RC	Rock Core
	PH Sample Advanced H	ydraulica	lly

PM Sample Advanced Manually

SOIL TESTS

Qu	Unconfined Compression	LV	Laboratory Vane
Q	Undrained Triaxial	FV	Field Vane
Qcu	Consolidated Undrained Triaxial	С	Consolidation
Qd	Drained Triaxial		

PROJECT Test Pit Investigation - Seven Townhomes LOCATION 760 Mosley Street, Wasaga Beach, Ontario EXCAVATION METHOD Excavator				BORING DATE December 2, 2016											PM EN TE	IL REI GINEI CHNIC	F. ER CIAN	16BF078 TLB RB
DEPTH ELEV	DESCRIPTION	T PLOT	JMBER	SAN	ALUES	ATION SCALE	SFIE +FIE ▲PC	ELD VAN CKET PI		r (KPa RVANE DMETER) E O Qu R O Q 200		TIC N M	IATUR OISTU ONTE W	AL I RE I NT		IT WEIGHT	GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS AND REMARKS
(())(0.00)	SURFACE ELEVATION 99 10	STR	ž		×.	ELEV	STAN	IDARD P	NE PEN ENETR/ 40 f	ATION 1	ION × TEST + 80	w	ATER		ENT	(%) 40	5	GRAIN S
	SURFACE GRANULAR: 100 mm	ن کر م				+		1	1		+		Ť	Ť		1	KN/m	GR SA S
99.00	FILL: Brown, sand, some sitt, trace	-100				1.95											1	
	gravel, trace organics, moist																	
			1			l			ĺ					1	ĺ	1		
		$ \times$																
												1						
0.80		$-\times$						ļ		Í		1		1	Ì	1		
98.30	SAND: Compact, light brown, sand, tra silt stratified moist	ice		1	;													
	on, ottained, moot																	
				ļ			L				1							
						90		1										
		· · · ·																
													ļ					
					:													
				ļ														
				1														
		· · · ·																
						Ì												
2.1						07												
97.0	TEST PIT TERMINATED AT 2.1 m					1.91			1									Upon completion of
													ĺ	ĺ				excavating No seepage
																		No sidewall sloughing
														ĺ				
									ĺ									
												İ						
													Ì					
									-									
										ĺ				ĺ				
																	1	
																	ĺ	
										3					}			
														ĺ				
											1							
ŀ									-									
											1							

LOCATION 760 Mosley Street, Wasaga Beach, Ontario EXCAVATION METHOD Excavator			ono	0.44		<u> </u>	SHE	BOR		ATE D	EN TE	GINEI CHNI(ER CIAN	TLB RB				
	EPTH ELEV hetres)	DESCRIPTION	STRAT PLOT	NUMBER	SAM	PLES	EVATION SCALE	÷FIE ▲PO DYNA STAN	LD VAN CKET P 50 1 MIC CO DARD P	ENGTI ENETRO 100 1 NEPEN ENETRO	H (KPa RVANE DMETER 150 2 IETRATI ATION 1) ROQU 2000 HONX TEST ●	PLASTI LIMIT Wp H WA	C NATI MOIS CON TER CO	JRAL TURE TENT V DONTENT	LIQUID LIMIT 	UNIT WEIGHT	GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS AND REMARKS
$\frac{1}{1}$	0.46	SURFACE ELEVATION 99.05 SURFACE GRANULAR: 150 mm granular base, moist	9 ⁴ 9				団 99		20	40	60	80	10	20	30	40	kN/m ³	GR SA SI CL
- 9	0.70	FILL: Brown, sand, some silt, trace gravel, plastic pieces, moist																
9	8.35	SAND: Compact, light brown, sand, trace silt, stratified, moist	× × 				98											
	<u>1.7</u> 97.4	TEST PIT TERMINATED AT 2.1 m								ng Piloton								Upon completion of
																		excavating No seepage No sidwali słoughing
													-					

				L	.OG (7	IE:	STI	РП	NO	. 3						1 of	
PRO LOC, EXC,	JECT Test Pit Investigation - Seven To ATION 760 Mosley Street, Wasaga Bea AVATION METHOD Excavator	BORING DATE December 2, 2016 ENGINE													16BF078 R TLB			
	SOIL PROFILE			SAM	PLES	Ш	SHEA	RSTR	ENGT	H (kPa))				GUN		KB	
DEPTH ELEV	DESCRIPTION	LOT PLOT	JMBER	TYPE	VALUES	ATION SCA		XET PI		DMETER		U PLASTIC NATUR LIMIT CONTE WP W			LIQUI LIM! 4		GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS AND REMARKS	
,	SURFACE ELEVATION 98.95	STF	Ż		ž	ELEV	STANC 2	ARD P	ENETRA 10 (ATION T	EST 🕯	W, 1	ATER C 0 20	ONTENT 30	(%) 40	5 kN/m ³	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION	
	SURFACE GRANULAR: 200 mm granular base, moist	р С , р С , с ,							<u> </u>	1		†						
0.20 98.75	FILL: Brown, sand, some silt, trace gravel, topsoil pockets, roots, concrete blocks, pipe pieces, bricks, mojst							- -										
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\otimes																
						98		<u> </u>										
1.3 97.7	SAND: Compact, light brown, sand, trace	\bigotimes																
	slit, stratified, moist									,		V Year						
<u>1.7</u> 97.3	TEST PIT TERMINATED AT 2.1 m															ļ	Upon completion of	
							7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7										excavating No seepage No sidewall sloughing	
												-	-					
														- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1				
								-			Ĩ							
														- test				
										,	Ĩ							
										*** to a A a man a m	1							
													~~~~	1				
														банананан Тапананан				
													4					





KEY PLAN WASAGA BEACH, ONTARIO

LEGEND:

PROPOSED SITE LIMITS

PROPOSED BUILDING

TP 1 TEST PIT 1 SURFACE ELEVATION

**TEMPORARY BENCH MARK** EL. 100.00 TOP OF TOP NUT OF FIRE HYDRANT, AT NORTH CORNER OF SITE ELEVATION 100.00 (METRIC, ASSIGNED)

REFERENCE: BASE PLAN PROVIDED BY CLIENT.





# APPENDIX A

Guidelines for Engineered Fill


The information presented in this appendix is intended for general guidance only. Site specific conditions and prevailing weather may require modification of compaction standards, backfill type or procedures. Each site must be discussed, and procedures agreed with Peto MacCallum Ltd. prior to the start of the earthworks and must be subject to ongoing review during construction. This appendix is not intended to apply to embankments. Steeply sloping ravine residential lots require special consideration.

For fill to be classified as engineered fill suitable for supporting structural loads, a number of conditions must be satisfied, including but not necessarily limited to the following:

#### 1. Purpose

The site specific purpose of the engineered fill must be recognized. In advance of construction, all parties should discuss the project and its requirements and agree on an appropriate set of standards and procedures.

#### 2. Minimum Extent

The engineered fill envelope must extend beyond the footprint of the structure to be supported. The minimum extent of the envelope should be defined from a geotechnical perspective by:

- at founding level, extend a minimum 1.0 m beyond the outer edge of the foundations, greater if adequate layout has not yet been completed as noted below; and
- extend downward and outward at a slope no greater than 45° to meet the subgrade

All fill within the envelope established above must meet the requirements of engineered fill in order to support the structure safely. Other considerations such as survey control, or construction methods may require an envelope that is larger, as noted in the following sections.

Once the minimum envelope has been established, structures must not be moved or extended without consultation with Peto MacCallum Ltd. Similarly, Peto MacCallum Ltd. should be consulted prior to any excavation within the minimum envelope.

### 3. <u>Survey Control</u>

Accurate survey control is essential to the success of an engineered fill project. The boundaries of the engineered fill must be laid out by a surveyor in consultation with engineering staff from Peto MacCallum Ltd. Careful consideration of the maximum building envelope is required.

During construction it is necessary to have a qualified surveyor provide total station control on the three dimensional extent of filling.



### 4. <u>Subsurface Preparation</u>

Prior to placement of fill, the subgrade must be prepared to the satisfaction of Peto MacCallum Ltd. All deleterious material must be removed and in some cases, excavation of native mineral soils may be required.

Particular attention must be paid to wet subgrades and possible additional measures required to achieve sufficient compaction. Where fill is placed against a slope, benching may be necessary and natural drainage paths must not be blocked.

#### 5. <u>Suitable Fill Materials</u>

All material to be used as fill must be approved by Peto MacCallum Ltd. Such approval will be influenced by many factors and must be site and project specific. External fill sources must be sampled, tested and approved prior to material being hauled to site.

#### 6. Test Section

In advance of the start of construction of the engineered fill pad, the Contractor should conduct a test section. The compaction criterion will be assessed in consultation with Peto MacCallum Ltd. for the various fill material types using different lift thicknesses and number of passes for the compaction equipment proposed by the Contractor.

Additional test sections may be required throughout the course of the project to reflect changes in fill sources, natural moisture content of the material and weather conditions.

The Contractor should be particularly aware of changes in the moisture content of fill material. Site review by Peto MacCallum Ltd. is required to ensure the desired lift thickness is maintained and that each lift is systematically compacted, tested and approved before a subsequent lift is commenced.

### 7. Inspection and Testing

Uniform, thorough compaction is crucial to the performance of the engineered fill and the supported structure. Hence, all subgrade preparation, filling and compacting must be carried out under the full time inspection by Peto MacCallum Ltd.

All founding surfaces for all buildings and residential dwellings or any part thereof (including but not limited to footings and floor slabs) on structural fill or native soils must be inspected and approved by PML engineering personnel prior to placement of the base/subbase granular material and/or concrete. The purpose of the inspection is to ensure the subgrade soils are capable of supporting the building/house foundation and floor slab loads and to confirm the building/house envelope does not extend beyond the limits of any structural fill pads.



### 8. <u>Protection of Fill</u>

Fill is generally more susceptible to the effects of weather than natural soil. Fill placed and approved to the level at which structural support is required must be protected from excessive wetting, drying, erosion or freezing. Where adequate protection has not been provided, it may be necessary to provide deeper footings or to strip and recompact some of the fill.

#### 9. Construction Delay Time Considerations

The integrity of the fill pad can deteriorate due to the harsh effects of our Canadian weather. Hence, particular care must be taken if the fill pad is constructed over a long time period.

It is necessary therefore, that all fill sources are tested to ensure the material compactability prior to the soil arriving at site. When there has been a lengthy delay between construction periods of the fill pad, it is necessary to conduct subgrade proof rolling, test pits or boreholes to verify the adequacy of the exposed subgrade to accept new fill material.

When the fill pad will be constructed over a lengthy period of time, a field survey should be completed at the end of each construction season to verify the areal extent and the level at which the compacted fill has been brought up to, tested and approved.

In the following spring, subexcavation may be necessary if the fill pad has been softened attributable to ponded surface water or freeze/thaw cycles.

A new survey is required at the beginning of the next construction season to verify that random dumping and/or spreading of fill has not been carried out at the site.

#### 10. Approved Fill Pad Surveillance

It should be appreciated that once the fill pad has been brought to final grade and documented by field survey, there must be ongoing surveillance to ensure that the integrity of the fill pad is not threatened.

Grading operations adjacent to fill pads can often take place several months or years after completion of the fill pad.

It is imperative that all site management and supervision staff, the staff of Contractors and earthwork operators be fully aware of the boundaries of all approved engineered fill pads.

Excavation into an approved engineered fill pad should never be contemplated without the full knowledge, approval and documentation by the geotechnical consultant.

If the fill pad is knowingly built several years in advance of ultimate construction, the areal limits of the fill pad should be substantially overbuilt laterally to allow for changes in possible structure location and elevation and other earthwork operations and competing interests on the site. The overbuilt distance required is project and/or site specified.



Iron bars should be placed at the corner/intermediate points of the fill pad as a permanent record of the approved limits of the work for record keeping purposes.

#### 11. Unusual Working Conditions

Construction of fill pads may at times take place at night and/or during periods of freezing weather conditions because of the requirements of the project schedule. It should be appreciated therefore, that both situations present more difficult working conditions. The Owner, Contractor, Design Consultant and Geotechnical Engineer must be willing to work together to revise site construction procedures, enhance field testing and surveillance, and incorporate design modifications as necessary to suit site conditions.

When working at night there must be sufficient artificial light to properly illuminate the fill pad and borrow areas.

Placement of material to form an engineered fill pad during winter and freezing temperatures has its own special conditions that must be addressed. It is imperative that each day prior to placement of new fill, the exposed subgrade must be inspected and any overnight snow or frozen material removed. Particular attention should be given to the borrow source inspection to ensure only nonfrozen fill is brought to the site.

The Contractor must continually assess the work program and have the necessary spreading and compacting equipment to ensure that densification of the fill material takes place in a minimum amount of time. Changes may be required to the spreading methods, lift thickness, and compaction techniques to ensure the desired compaction is achieved uniformly throughout each fill lift.

The Contractor should adequately protect the subgrade at the end of each shift to minimize frost penetration overnight. Since water cannot be added to the fill material to facilitate compaction, it is imperative that densification of the fill be achieved by additional compaction effort and an appropriate reduced lift thickness. Once the fill pad has been completed, it must be properly protected from freezing temperatures and ponding of water during the spring thaw period.

If the pad is unusually thick or if the fill thickness varies dramatically across the width or length of the fill pad, Peto MacCallum Ltd. should be consulted for additional recommendations. In this case, alternative special provisions may be recommended, such as providing a surcharge preload for a limited time or increase the degree of compaction of the fill.

# **APPENDIX B**

Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet Fire Flow Calculation for Water Supply



760 Mosley St	treet														De	sign Pa	rameters	s											
Wasaga Beach				SAN	ITARY	SEWEF	R DESIG	GN SHE	EET	<u>Average</u> Residen	Daily Flov tial	<u>w</u> 0.0041	L/s/c			Manning Min. Velo	s " <i>n"</i> ocity	0.0130 0.40	) ) m/sec										
				ENC	JINEERI	NG AND	) PUBLI		KS	S						Max. Vel	ocity	3.0	) m/sec										
Project Number: Date: Design By: Checked By: File:	17-1 May BS JV Z:\Pr	1290B 12, 2017 oject Documents	\11290B Mosley	Drainage Ard	rainage Area Plan No: SS1			Commercial 1.5 L/s/ha Industrial 1.0 L/s/ha Inst. / School 2.5 L/s/ha					Residential Harmon Peaking Factor (F) Residential Areas Infiltration 0.28 L/s/ha						<b>_</b> .		PINESTON	IE ENGIN	IEERING L	TD.					
	LOCATIO	ON		RE	SIDENTIA		S and POI	PULATIO	N	S INS	SCHOOL TITUTIO	_, NAL	cc	OMMERC	CIAL	IN	IDUSTR	IAL		INI	FILTRATI	ON				DESIGN	I		
STREET	AR N0	EA LOO D. FROM MH	NHOLE CATION TO MH	AREA	UNITS	POPUL.	CUMUL POPUL.	PEAK FACTOR "F"	PEAK RES. FLOW	AREA	2.50 CUMUL AREA	HECT/ L/s/ha PEAK FLOW	ARES AN AREA	ND FLOW 1.50 CUMUL AREA	OF EACH ) <i>L/s/ha</i> PEAK FLOW	ZONING AREA	1.00 CUMUL AREA	) <i>L/s/ha</i> PEAK FLOW	TOTALS C-I FLOW	AREA	CUMUL AREA	INFIL FLOW	TOTAL VOLUME FLOW	LENGTH	SLOPE	PIPE SIZE	CAPACITY	FULL FLOW VELOCITY	ACTUAL VELOCITY
				ha	2.6pp/unit	1000s	1000s		L/sec	ha	ha	L/sec	ha	ha	L/sec	ha	ha	L/sec	L/sec	ha	ha	L/sec	L/sec	т	%	тт	L/sec.	m/s	m/s
Site Site		SANMH SANMH	1 SANMH2 2 Prop. Tee	0.20 0.01	14.00 0.00	0.036 0.000	0.036 0.036	4.340661 4.340661	0.6399 0.6399							Capacity	of Immed	liate Down	stream Rea	0.20 0.01	) 0.20 0.21 mm dia Sai	0.0560 0.0588	0.6959 0.6987	80.0 7.0	1.00 1.00 0.12	200 200 450	32.7818 32.7818 98 7135	1.044 1.044 0.621	0.421 0.421

# Fire Flow Calculations – Fire Underwriters Survey 1999

Building Area = 3,008.92 (3 storeys-all 14 units)

Fire demands for the proposed development were calculated in accordance with the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) as follows:

$$F = 220C(A)^{0.5}$$

Where,

F = the required fire flow in litres per minute.

C = coefficient related to the type of construction.

A = total floor area of building (excluding basements) calculated as per FUS

C = 1.0 for wood construction

F = 220*1.0(3,008.92)^0.5 F = 12,068 L/min F = 201 L/sec

Reductions:

Reduction for low hazard occupancy (-25%) Fire Flow = 150.8 L/sec

Exposure charge for existing building to the north-east (+10%) Exposure charge for existing building to the south-east (+5%) Exposure charge for existing building to the south-west (+15%) Exposure charge for existing building to the north-west (+10%)

Total charge = 40% or 60.3 L/sec on the 150.8 L/sec fire flow

Required fire flow as per FUS 1999 calculation = 212 L/sec

APPENDIX C

Owen Sound IDF Rainfall data IDF Parameter Logs



Appendix C - Owen Sound IDF Data.txt Envi ronment Canada/Envi ronnement Canada Short Duration Rainfall Intensity-Duration-Frequency Data Données sur l'intensité, la durée et la fréquence des chutes de pluie de courte durée Gumbel - Method of moments/Méthode des moments 2014/12/21 _____ OWEN SOUND MOE ON 6116132 Lati tude: 44 35'N Longitude: 80 56'W El evation/Al ti tude: 178 m 1965 - 2006 # Years/Années : 37 Years/Années : ______ Table 1 : Annual Maximum (mm)/Maximum annuel (mm) Year 5 min 10 min 15 min 30 min 1 h 2 h 6 h 12 h 24 h Année 12.7 32.3 35.1 1965 16.0 23.9 28.7 35.1 35.1 35.1 13.2 21.8 30.5 1966 6.9 8.9 18.8 22.1 32.0 32.3 43.9 1967 11.4 15.7 21.3 38.1 43.9 43.9 46.5 52.6 1968 43.9 14.7 19.6 24.4 31.2 56.4 63.8 68.1 75.9 30.2 71.9 1969 6.6 13.0 17.0 22.9 39.4 49.3 71.9 32.5 42.7 1970 10.2 18.8 42.7 55.6 55.6 25.4 41.4 1971 12.2 17.3 26.9 55.6 55.9 7.6 36.1 39.1 55.6 1972 7.4 13.2 18.3 19.3 20.1 23.6 36.8 42.7 42.7 18.3 43.9 29.7 43.9 10.2 1973 5.8 12.4 16.3 16.5 16.5 33.5 23.9 1974 16.3 6.6 10.4 11.7 18.8 45.0 1975 6.9 9.4 9.4 17.0 11.2 25.4 17.0 24.6 25.4 7.1 22.6 1976 13.2 17.3 22.4 22.6 29.2 31.7 34.8 1977 13.0 14.7 18.0 22.9 22.9 25.1 26.9 37.3 37.3 1979 18.4 26.0 26.4 30.4 32.1 32.2 41.1 47.1 48.3 9.4 19.8 32.0 45.7 1980 30.8 72.4 16.1 41.3 45.7 1981 -99.9 -99.9 -99.9 -99.9 14.5 19.7 29.4 40.6 41.4 1982 11.8 11.8 12.0 12.0 12.6 23.0 52.6 56.6 56.8 1983 8.2 15.7 27.3 46.8 53.4 5.6 7.8 38.2 50.0 1984 6.9 11.4 15.6 22.3 36.4 45.1 47.0 47.0 47.0 16.0 22.4 1985 10.1 18.0 25.9 44.8 32.1 62.4 73.0 1986 12.4 16.2 39.2 4.6 8.4 11.2 16.3 43.3 60.9 9.0 15.1 15.8 1987 13.5 15.1 15.5 17.0 28.0 28.0 1988 11.4 14.2 35.9 18.0 21.0 24.8 27.7 45.0 54.0 1989 6.9 13.9 -99.9 -99.9 12.1 13.5 14.0 47.2 12.7 40.0 45.4 1990 10.6 15.0 18.7 27.4 34.1 35.9 45.4 10.5 27.3 1991 8.3 38.9 5.6 7.0 8.1 18.0 28.6 1992 8.4 14.1 27.9 4.8 7.4 8.9 18.2 27.9 47.5 43.3 1993 49.9 25.9 7.7 15.4 23.1 28.6 33.1 39.0 1994 27.4 35.7 5.2 10.4 15.4 32.4 35.7 48.0 32.6 1995 12.5 21.6 7.6 12.0 12.5 16.4 30.5 33.2 38.7 41.7 1996 10.8 15.3 18.1 27.8 32.5 47.0 55.9 55.9 1999 7.2 11.1 15.2 20.6 21.7 21.7 36.5 40.8 42.4 7.2 53.0 79.3 79.5 2000 14.1 33.0 80.5 18.0 70.3 5.2 9.0 30.8 2001 11.6 14.6 21.4 24.4 35.6 42.0 8.2 2002 11.0 12.8 15.8 16.4 25.8 53.0 54.8 65.2

Page 1

	2003 2004 2006	11.2 6.5 12.2	Appendi 16.3 9.7 17.4	x C - 20.6 11.3 20.0	Owen So 24.5 16.9 30.6	ound IDF 30.5 27.8 53.1	Data.tx 32.2 35.4 74.8	kt 32.4 54.6 74.8	32.4 70.8 76.6	48. 1 76. 2 85. 8
#	Yrs.	37	37	37	37	38	38	37	37	38
AI	Mean	8.7	13.1	16.2	21.5	26.6	31.4	40.6	46.3	51.2
Std.	Dev.	3.1	3.9	4.9	7.6	10. 9	14.0	13.8	14.1	15. 1
	Skew.	1.08	0. 96	0. 23	0. 13	0. 73	1. 39	0.89	0. 76	0. 58
Kur	tosi s	4.39	5. 17	2.55	2. 32	3. 23	5.37	4.30	3.09	2.87
	*-99.9	I ndi ca	tes Mis	sing Da	ata/Donr	nées man	quantes			
Warning: Avertisse	annual ement : Year/Ar	maximu la qua pour u née 1979 1979	m amoun ntité m ne péri Dura	t great aximale ode de tion/Du 5 10	ter thar e annuel retour urée mi n mi n	n 100-yr le excè de 100 a Data	return de la q ans a/Donné 18 26	period uantité es .4 .0	amoun 1	t 00-yr/ans 18.4 25.2
* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *	*****	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *
Table 2a :	Returr Quanti	n Perio té de	d Rainf pluie (	all Amo mm) par	ounts (r	nm) de de re	tour			
* * * * * * * * * *	******	*****	******	******	******	*****	******	* * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *
Duration,	/Durée 5 min 10 min 15 min 30 min 1 h 2 h 6 h 12 h 24 h	yr/an 8. 12. 15. 20. 24. 29. 38. 44. 48.	2 yr/ 2 1 4 1 3 2 8 3 1 4 4 5 0 5 7 6	5 0.9 5.9 9.8 7.0 4.5 1.5 0.5 6.5 2.0	10 yr/ans 12.7 18.1 22.7 31.5 40.8 49.7 58.6 64.7 70.9	25 yr/ans 15.0 21.0 26.3 37.1 48.9 60.1 68.7 75.2 82.0	yr/a 16 23 29 41 54 67 76 83 90	50 ns yr . 7 . 1 . 0 . 3 . 9 . 8 . 3 . 0 . 3	100 /ans 18.4 25.2 31.7 45.5 60.9 75.5 83.8 90.7 98.5	#Years Années 37 37 37 37 38 38 38 37 37 37 38
	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *	*****	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * *	*****	* * * * * * * * * *
Return Pe Intensite	eriod Ra é de la	ainfall pluie	Rates (mm/h) *******	(mm/h) par pér	- 95% ( ^i ode de	Confiden e retour *******	ce limi - Limi *******	ts tes de *******	confi a *****	nce de 95%
Duration,	/Durée 5 min 10 min 15 min 30 min 1 h	yr/an 98. 74. 74. 61. 61. 61. 40. 40. 40. 24. 24. 24.	2 s yr/ 0 +/- 1 6 9 9 +/- 1 7 7 8 +/- 6 5 5 +/- 8 3 2 +/-	5 0.8 8.5 +/- 5.2 1.6 +/- 9.1 9.8 +/- 4.1 7.6 +/- 4.5 5.4 +/-	10 yr/ans 152.4 - 24.9 - 108.7 - 15.6 - 90.6 - 13.3 - 63.0 - 10.3 - 40.8 - 7.3 -	25 yr/ans 179.8 +/- 33.6 125.9 +/- 21.1 105.2 +/- 17.9 74.3 +/- 13.8 48.9 +/- 9.8	yr/a 200 +/- 40 138 +/- 25 116 +/- 21 82 +/- 16 54 +/- 11	50 ns yr .2 2 .7 1 .2 +/- .0 1 .4 +/- .7 .6 +/- .9 .7 +/-	100 /ans 20. 3 46. 9 51. 3 29. 4 26. 8 24. 9 91. 0 19. 3 60. 9 13. 6	#Years Années 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 38 38

Page 2

Appendix C - Owen Sound IDF Data.txt 20.8 30. 1 2 h 14.6 24.9 33.9 37.7 38 4.7 +/- 6.3 +/-+/-2.0 +/-3.5 +/-7.5 +/-8.8 38 11.5 12.7 6 h 6.4 8.4 9.8 14.0 37 +/-0.7 +/-1.1 +/-2.1 +/-2.5 +/-1.5 +/-2.9 37 6.9 12 h 3.7 4.7 5.4 6.3 7.6 37 +/-0.3 +/-0.8 +/-1.1 +/-1.3 1.5 37 0.6 +/-+/-24 h 2.0 2.6 3.0 3.4 3.8 4.1 38 0.2 +/-0.3 +/-0.4 +/-0.6 +/-0.7 +/-0.8 38 +/-Table 3 : Interpolation Equation / Équation d'interpolation:  $R = A^{TAB}$ R = Interpolated Rainfall rate (mm/h)/Intensité interpolée de la pluie (mm/h) RR = Rainfall rate (mm/h) / Intensité de la pluie (mm/h) T = Rainfall duration (h) / Durée de la pluie (h) Stati sti cs/Stati sti ques 2 5 25 10 50 100 yr/ans yr/ans yr/ans yr/ans yr/ans yr/ans 36.3 47.8 55.4 65.0 72.2 79.3 55.4 Mean of RR/Moyenne de RR 65.0 Std. Dev. /Écart-type (RR) 45.4 74.7 34.8 52.5 61.4 68.1 Std. Error/Erreur-type 10.4 13.9 16.3 19.4 21.7 24.0 Coefficient (A) Exponent/Exposant (B) 39.3 21.8 28.8 33.5 43.7 48.0 -0.701 -0.703 -0.704 -0.705 -0.706 -0.706 Mean % Error/% erreur moyenne 13.8 10.2 12.2 13.1 14.3 14.8

Climate Library



V



V

Climate Library





Climate Library



V



Manual Read-in Chicago MASS

Rain Read-in Temperature Read-in Evaporation Read-in Remove Add to Help

IDF IDF

 $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ 

Top Sub

V

Library

FI 🔒

Export Import

Climate Library

Θ 5<del>1</del> ?

Library



Climate Library



V



Climate Library



V



APPENDIX D

**Rational Method Calculations** 



# 760 MOSLEY STREET TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT, WASAGA BEACH MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD - PRE-DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENT 101 Town of Wasaga Beach

Project Number:17-11290BDate:September 15, 2017Design By:TG / BSFile:Z:\Project Documents

TG / BS Z:\Project Documents\11290B Mosley St. Townhomes\Design\SWM\MRM SWM Calculations.xls

	IDF Curve Pa	irameters		Intensity
Storm Event	Α	В	С	(mm/hr)
2 year	567.413	3.75	0.7660	134.48
5 year	809.36	4.5	0.7780	172.38
10 year	939.087	4.5	0.7780	200.00
25 year	1117.54	4.5	0.7810	236.60
50 year	1241.422	4.5	0.7810	262.82
100 year	1369.583	4.5	0.7820	289.38



I = average rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

 $A,B,C, = \qquad \text{the IDF equation coefficients (dimensionless)}$ 

T_c = time of concentration (min) (see time of concentration calculations for values)

Runoff Coeffi	cients
Land Use	"C"
Unimproved Area - <7%	0.25
Pasture Land	0.45
Woodlot	0.42
Lakes / Swamps	0.05
Impervious Area	0.90
Building Area	0.90
Gravel	0.75
Lawn	0.25
Townhouse Lot Area	0.60
Y	0.00
Z	0.00

#### Pre-Development Runoff Coefficients:

Catchment	Total Area (m²)	Unimproved Area (m²)	Pasture Area (m ² )	Woodlot Area (m²)	Lakes/Swamps Area (m ² )	Impervious Area (m²)	Building Area (m ² )	Gravel Area (m²)	Lawn Area (m²)	Townhouse Lot Area Area (m ² )	Y Area (m²)	Z Area (m²)	Weighted C
101	1,200					964			236				0.77

#### Runoff Coefficient Adjustment for 25-100 yr Storm Events:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
101	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.85	0.93	0.97

Notes:

1) Runoff coefficients from Town of Wasaga Beach Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 38 / 39

2) Runoff coefficients for events greater than the 10 year storm have been adjusted as per the Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 39

#### Pre-Development Peak Flow Rates:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)
101	0.035	0.044	0.051	0.067	0.081	0.093



Catchment P	aramet	ers	
Catchment ID	=	101	
Catchment Area	=	0.1200	ha
Flow Length	=	40	m
Slope	=	0.01	m/m
Weighted Runoff Coefficient	=[	0.77	
	-		
Time of Concent	ration F	Results	
Bransby Williams Formula	=	2.8	min.
(use for C>=0.4)			
Airport Formula	=	6.8	min.
(use for C<0.4)			

# 760 MOSLEY STREET TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT, WASAGA BEACH MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD - PRE-DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENT 102 Town of Wasaga Beach

PINESTONE ENGINEERING LTD.

Project Number: 17-11290B September 15, 2017 Date: Design By: TG/BS File:

Z:\Project Documents\11290B Mosley St. Townhomes\Design\SWM\MRM SWM Calculations.xls

	IDF Curve Pa	rameters		Intensity
Storm Event	Α	В	С	(mm/hr)
2 year	567.413	3.75	0.7660	125.75
5 year	809.36	4.5	0.7780	162.10
10 year	939.087	4.5	0.7780	188.08
25 year	1117.54	4.5	0.7810	222.44
50 year	1241.422	4.5	0.7810	247.10
100 year	1369.583	4.5	0.7820	272.05



| = average rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

A,B,C, = the IDF equation coefficients (dimensionless)

T_c = time of concentration (min) (see time of concentration calculations for values)

Runoff Coeffi	cients
Land Use	"C"
Unimproved Area - <7%	0.25
Pasture Land	0.45
Woodlot	0.42
Lakes / Swamps	0.05
Impervious Area	0.90
Building Area	0.90
Gravel	0.75
Lawn	0.25
Townhouse Lot Area	0.60
Y	0.00
Z	0.00

#### Pre-Development Runoff Coefficients:

Catchment	Total Area (m²)	Unimproved Area (m ² )	Pasture Area (m²)	Woodlot Area (m ² )	Lakes/Swamps Area (m ² )	Impervious Area (m²)	Building Area (m²)	Gravel Area (m²)	Lawn Area (m ² )	Townhouse Lot Area Area (m ² )	Y Area (m²)	Z Area (m²)	Weighted C
102	1,500			148		147		892	313				0.63

#### Runoff Coefficient Adjustment for 25-100 yr Storm Events:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
102	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.69	0.75	0.78

Notes:

1) Runoff coefficients from Town of Wasaga Beach Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 38 / 39

2) Runoff coefficients for events greater than the 10 year storm have been adjusted as per the Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 39

#### Pre-Development Peak Flow Rates:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)
102	0.033	0.042	0.049	0.064	0.078	0.089

Catchment Parameters							
Catchment ID	=	102					
Catchment Area	=	0.1500	ha				
Flow Length	=	50	m				
Slope	=	0.011	m/m				
Weighted Runoff Coefficient	=	0.63					
Time of Concentration Results							
Bransby Williams Formula	=	3.4	min.				
(use for C>=0.4)							
Airport Formula	=	10.5	min.				
(use for C<0.4)							

#### 760 MOSLEY STREET TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT, WASAGA BEACH **MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD - POST DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENTS 201** Town of Wasaga Beach



Project Number: 17-11290B Date: September 15, 2017 Design By: TG / BS File: Z:\Project Documents\11290B Mosley St. Townhomes\Design\SWM\MRM SWM Calculations.xls

	Intensity			
Storm Event	A	В	С	(mm/hr)
2 year	567.413	3.75	0.7660	180.82
5 year	809.36	4.5	0.7780	224.44
10 year	939.087	4.5	0.7780	260.41
25 year	1117.54	4.5	0.7810	308.36
50 year	1241.422	4.5	0.7810	342.55
100 year	1369 583	4.5	0 7820	377 29



| = average rainfall intensity (mm/hr) A,B,C, = the IDF equation coefficients (dimensionless)

 $T_c =$ time of concentration (min)

(see time of concentration calculations for values)

Runoff Coefficients						
Land Use	"C"					
Unimproved Area - <7%	0.25					
Pasture Land	0.45					
Woodlot	0.42					
Lakes / Swamps	0.05					
Impervious Area	0.90					
Building Area	0.90					
Gravel	0.75					
Lawn	0.25					
Townhouse Lot Area	0.60					
Y	0.00					
Z	0.00					

#### Time of Concentration Calculations:

Catchment ID
Catchment Area
Flow Length
Slope
Weighted Runoff C

Bransby Williams Formula (use for C>=0.4) Airport Formula (use for C<0.4)

#### Post Development Runoff Coefficients:

Catchment	Total Area (m²)	Unimproved Area (m ² )	Pasture Area (m ² )	Woodlot Area (m ² )	Lakes/Swamps Area (m ² )	Impervious Area (m²)	Building Area (m²)	Gravel Area (m²)	Lawn Area (m²)	Townhouse Lot Area Area (m ² )	Y Area (m²)	Z Area (m²)	Weighted C
201	179					168			11				0.86

#### Runoff Coefficient Adjustment for 25-100 yr Storm Events:

1) Runoff coefficients from Town of Wasaga Beach Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 38 / 39

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
201	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.95	1.00	1.00

2) Runoff coefficients for events greater than the 10 year storm have been adjusted as per the Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 39

#### Post-Development Peak Flow Rates:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	
201	0.008	0.010	0.011	0.015	0.017	Γ

#### * Runoff Reduction of 45% can be expected for areas that are draining to Biorentention cells. "Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning Design Guide 2010"

Post-Development Peak Flow Rates including run-off reduction from bioretention cell:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	Γ
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	
201	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.008	0.009	

#### Notes:



00-Year	
(m³/s)	
0.019	

00-Year
(m³/s)
0.010

## 760 MOSLEY STREET TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT, WASAGA BEACH MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD - POST DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENTS 202, 204 - 206 Town of Wasaga Beach

PINESTONE ENGINEERING LTD

17-11290B Project Number: Date: September 15, 2017 Design By: TG / BS File:

Z:\Project Documents\11290B Mosley St. Townhomes\Design\SWM\MRM SWM Calculations.xls

	Intensity			
Storm Event	А	В	с	(mm/hr)
2 year	567.413	3.75	0.7660	184.00
5 year	809.36	4.5	0.7780	227.85
10 year	939.087	4.5	0.7780	264.37
25 year	1117.54	4.5	0.7810	313.08
50 year	1241.422	4.5	0.7810	347.78
100 year	1369.583	4.5	0.7820	383.06



(t_c + B)^c

average rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

| =

A,B,C, = the IDF equation coefficients (dimensionless)

 $T_c =$ time of concentration (min)

(see time of concentration calculations for values)

Runoff Coeffi	cients
Land Use	"C"
Unimproved Area - <7%	0.25
Pasture Land	0.45
Woodlot	0.42
Lakes / Swamps	0.05
Impervious Area	0.90
Building Area	0.90
Gravel	0.75
Lawn	0.25
Townhouse Lot Area	0.60
Y	0.00
Z	0.00

#### Post Development Runoff Coefficients:

Catchment	Total Area (m²)	Unimproved Area (m ² )	Pasture Area (m ² )	Woodlot Area (m ² )	Lakes/Swamps Area (m ² )	Impervious Area (m²)	Building Area (m ² )	Gravel Area (m²)	Lawn Area (m²)	Townhouse Lot Area Area (m ² )	Y Area (m²)	Z Area (m²)	Weighted C
202, 204-206	121					110			11				0.84

#### Runoff Coefficient Adjustment for 25-100 yr Storm Events:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
202, 204-206	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.93	1.00	1.00

Notes:

1) Runoff coefficients from Town of Wasaga Beach Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 38 / 39

2) Runoff coefficients for events greater than the 10 year storm have been adjusted as per the Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 39

#### Post-Development Peak Flow Rates:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)
202, 204-206	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.012	0.013

#### * Runoff Reduction of 45% can be expected for areas that are draining to Biorentention cells. "Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning Design Guide 2010"

#### Post-Development Peak Flow Rates including run-off reduction from bioretention cell:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)
202, 204-206	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007

Catchment	Parame	eters	
atchment ID	=	202, 204- 206	
atchment Area	=	0.0121	ha
low Length	=	8	m
lope	=	0.02	m/m
eighted Runoff Coefficient	=	0.84	
Time of Concen	tration	Results	
ransby Williams Formula	=	0.6	min.
use for C>=0.4)			
irport Formula	=	1.9	min.
use for C<0.4)			

# 760 MOSLEY STREET TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT, WASAGA BEACH **MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD - POST DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENTS 203** Town of Wasaga Beach



Z:\Project Documents\11290B Mosley St. Townhomes\Design\SWM\MRM SWM Calculations.xls

	IDF Curve Pa	arameters		Intensity	
Storm Event	Storm Event A B C				
2 year	567.413	3.75	0.7660	184.00	
5 year	809.36	4.5	0.7780	227.85	
10 year	939.087	4.5	0.7780	264.37	
25 year	1117.54	4.5	0.7810	313.08	
50 year	1241.422	4.5	0.7810	347.78	
100 year	1369.583	4.5	0.7820	383.06	



average rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

A,B,C, = the IDF equation coefficients (dimensionless) T_c = time of concentration (min)

I =

(see time of concentration calculations for values)

Runoff Coeffi	cients
Land Use	"C"
Unimproved Area - <7%	0.25
Pasture Land	0.45
Woodlot	0.42
Lakes / Swamps	0.05
Impervious Area	0.90
Building Area	0.90
Gravel	0.75
Lawn	0.25
Townhouse Lot Area	0.60
Y	0.00
Z	0.00

#### Post Development Runoff Coefficients:

Catchment	Total Area (m²)	Unimproved Area (m ² )	Pasture Area (m²)	Woodlot Area (m²)	Lakes/Swamps Area (m ² )	Impervious Area (m²)	Building Area (m ² )	Gravel Area (m²)	Lawn Area (m²)	Townhouse Lot Area Area (m ² )	Y Area (m²)	Z Area (m²)	Weighted C
203	206					140			66				0.69

#### Runoff Coefficient Adjustment for 25-100 yr Storm Events:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
203	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.76	0.83	0.86

Notes:

1) Runoff coefficients from Town of Wasaga Beach Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 38 / 39

2) Runoff coefficients for events greater than the 10 year storm have been adjusted as per the Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 39

#### Post-Development Peak Flow Rates:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)
203	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.014	0.017	0.019

#### * Runoff Reduction of 45% can be expected for areas that are draining to Biorentention cells. "Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning Design Guide 2010"

Post-Development Peak Flow Rates including run-off reduction from bioretention cell:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)
203	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.009	0.010



Catchment I	Catchment Parameters									
Catchment ID	=	203								
Catchment Area	=	0.0206	ha							
Flow Length	=	8	m							
Slope	=	0.022	m/m							
Weighted Runoff Coefficient	=	0.69								
Time of Concen	tration	Results								
Bransby Williams Formula	=	0.6	min.							
(use for C>=0.4)										
Airport Formula	=	2.9	min.							
(use for C<0.4)										

# 760 MOSLEY STREET TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT, WASAGA BEACH **MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD - POST DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENTS 207** Town of Wasaga Beach



Z:\Project Documents\11290B Mosley St. Townhomes\Design\SWM\MRM SWM Calculations.xls

	IDF Curve Parameters								
Storm Event	Storm Event A B C								
2 year	567.413	3.75	0.7660	187.31					
5 year	809.36	4.5	0.7780	231.39					
10 year	939.087	4.5	0.7780	268.48					
25 year	1117.54	4.5	0.7810	317.96					
50 year	1241.422	4.5	0.7810	353.20					
100 year	1369.583	4.5	0.7820	389.04					



average rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

A,B,C, = the IDF equation coefficients (dimensionless) T_c = time of concentration (min)

I =

(see time of concentration calculations for values)

Runoff Coeffi	cients
Land Use	"C"
Unimproved Area - <7%	0.25
Pasture Land	0.45
Woodlot	0.42
Lakes / Swamps	0.05
Impervious Area	0.90
Building Area	0.90
Gravel	0.75
Lawn	0.25
Townhouse Lot Area	0.60
Y	0.00
Z	0.00

#### Post Development Runoff Coefficients:

Catchment	Total Area (m²)	Unimproved Area (m ² )	Pasture Area (m ² )	Woodlot Area (m²)	Lakes/Swamps Area (m ² )	Impervious Area (m²)	Building Area (m²)	Gravel Area (m²)	Lawn Area (m²)	Townhouse Lot Area Area (m ² )	Y Area (m²)	Z Area (m²)	Weighted C
207	106					81			25				0.75

#### Runoff Coefficient Adjustment for 25-100 yr Storm Events:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
207	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.82	0.90	0.93

Notes:

1) Runoff coefficients from Town of Wasaga Beach Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 38 / 39

2) Runoff coefficients for events greater than the 10 year storm have been adjusted as per the Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 39

#### Post-Development Peak Flow Rates:

Catchment	2-Year	2-Year 5-Year 10-Year		25-Year	50-Year	100-Year	
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	
207	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.008	0.009	0.011	

#### * Runoff Reduction of 45% can be expected for areas that are draining to Biorentention cells. "Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning Design Guide 2010"

Post-Development Peak Flow Rates including run-off reduction from bioretention cell:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)
207	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006



Catchment I	Catchment Parameters									
Catchment ID	=	207								
Catchment Area	=	0.0106	ha							
Flow Length	=	6	m							
Slope	=	0.018	m/m							
Weighted Runoff Coefficient	=	0.75								
Time of Concen	tration	Results								
Bransby Williams Formula	=	0.5	min.							
(use for C>=0.4)										
Airport Formula	=	2.3	min.							
(use for C<0.4)										

# 760 MOSLEY STREET TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT, WASAGA BEACH **MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD - POST DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENTS 208-222** Town of Wasaga Beach

PINESTONE ENGINEERING LTD.

17-11290B Project Number: September 15, 2017 Date: Design By: TG / BS File:

Z:\Project Documents\11290B Mosley St. Townhomes\Design\SWM\MRM SWM Calculations.xls

	IDF Curve Pa	rameters		Intensity		
Storm Event	Storm Event A B C					
2 year	567.413	3.75	0.7660	166.66		
5 year	809.36	4.5	0.7780	208.96		
10 year	939.087	4.5	0.7780	242.46		
25 year	1117.54	4.5	0.7810	287.03		
50 year	1241.422	4.5	0.7810	318.85		
100 year	1369.583	4.5	0.7820	351.15		



average rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

A,B,C, = the IDF equation coefficients (dimensionless) T_c = time of concentration (min)

I =

(see time of concentration calculations for values)

Runoff Coeffi	cients
Land Use	"C"
Unimproved Area - <7%	0.25
Pasture Land	0.45
Woodlot	0.42
Lakes / Swamps	0.05
Impervious Area	0.90
Building Area	0.90
Gravel	0.75
Lawn	0.25
Townhouse Lot Area	0.60
Y	0.00
Z	0.00

#### Post Development Runoff Coefficients:

Catchment	Total Area (m²)	Unimproved Area (m²)	Pasture Area (m ² )	Woodlot Area (m²)	Lakes/Swamps Area (m ² )	Impervious Area (m²)	Building Area (m²)	Gravel Area (m²)	Lawn Area (m²)	Townhouse Lot Area Area (m ² )	Y Area (m²)	Z Area (m²)	Weighted C
208-222	74						74						0.90

#### Runoff Coefficient Adjustment for 25-100 yr Storm Events:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
208-222	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.99	1.00	1.00

Notes:

1) Runoff coefficients from Town of Wasaga Beach Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 38 / 39

2) Runoff coefficients for events greater than the 10 year storm have been adjusted as per the Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 39

#### Post-Development Peak Flow Rates:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)
208-222	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.007	0.007

#### * Runoff Reduction of 85% can be expected for areas that are draining to soakaway pits. "Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning Design Guide 2010"

Post-Development Peak Flow Rates including run-off reduction from bioretention cell:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)
208-222	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

### Time of Concentration Calculations:

Catchment Parameters						
Catchment ID	=	208-222				
Catchment Area	=	0.0074	ha			
Flow Length	=	13.3	m			
Slope	=	0.01	m/m			
Weighted Runoff Coefficient	=	0.90				
	-					
Time of Concen	tration	Results				
Bransby Williams Formula	=	1.2	min.			
(use for C>=0.4)						
Airport Formula	=	2.4	min.			
(use for C<0.4)						

* single unit

# 760 MOSLEY STREET TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT, WASAGA BEACH MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD - POST DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENTS 223 Town of Wasaga Beach

PINESTONE ENGINEERING LTD.

Project Number:17-11290BDate:September 15, 2017Design By:TG / BSFile:Z:\Project Documents

Z:\Project Documents\11290B Mosley St. Townhomes\Design\SWM\MRM SWM Calculations.xls

	IDF Curve Parameters								
Storm Event	Storm Event A B C								
2 year	567.413	3.75	0.7660	194.35					
5 year	809.36	4.5	0.7780	238.86					
10 year	939.087	4.5	0.7780	277.14					
25 year	1117.54	4.5	0.7810	328.26					
50 year	1241.422	4.5	0.7810	364.64					
100 year	1369.583	4.5	0.7820	401.66					



average rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

I =

(see time of concentration calculations for values)

Runoff Coefficients						
Land Use	"C"					
Unimproved Area - <7%	0.25					
Pasture Land	0.45					
Woodlot	0.42					
Lakes / Swamps	0.05					
Impervious Area	0.90					
Building Area	0.90					
Gravel	0.75					
Lawn	0.25					
Townhouse Lot Area	0.60					
Y	0.00					
Z	0.00					

#### Post Development Runoff Coefficients:

Catchment	Total Area (m²)	Unimproved Area (m ² )	Pasture Area (m ² )	Woodlot Area (m ² )	Lakes/Swamps Area (m ² )	Impervious Area (m²)	Building Area (m ² )	Gravel Area (m²)	Lawn Area (m²)	Townhouse Lot Area Area (m ² )	Y Area (m²)	Z Area (m²)	Weighted C
223	687					132				555			0.66

#### Runoff Coefficient Adjustment for 25-100 yr Storm Events:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
223	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.72	0.79	0.82

#### Post-Development Peak Flow Rates:

Catchment	2-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)
223	0.024	0.030	0.035	0.045	0.055	0.063

Notes:

1) Runoff coefficients from Town of Wasaga Beach Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 38 / 39

2) Runoff coefficients for events greater than the 10 year storm have been adjusted as per the Engineering Standards Manual - Pg 39

Catchment Parameters						
Catchment ID	=	223				
Catchment Area	=	0.0687	ha			
Flow Length	=	5.6	m			
Slope	=	0.03	m/m			
Weighted Runoff Coefficient	=	0.66				
	_					
Time of Concer	ntration	Results				
Bransby Williams Formula	=	0.3	min.			
(use for C>=0.4)						
Airport Formula	=	2.4	min.			
(use for C<0.4)						

### 760 MOSLEY STREET TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT, WASAGA BEACH MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD - PRE TO POST DEVELOPMENT WITH SWM FLOW SUMMARY Town of Wasaga Beach

Project Number: Date: Design By: File: 17-11290 B September 15, 2017 TG / BS Z:\Project Documents\11290B Mosley St. Townhomes\Design\SWM\MRM SWM Calculations.xls

Storm Event	2 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year	100 Year
Storm Event	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)	(m³/s)
Pre-Development Catchment 101	0.035	0.044	0.051	0.067	0.081	0.093
Pre-Development Catchment 102	0.033	0.042	0.049	0.064	0.078	0.089
Total Pre-Development Flow	0.068	0.087	0.101	0.131	0.159	0.182
Post Development Catchment 201	0.008	0.010	0.011	0.015	0.017	0.019
Post Development Catchment 202	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.012	0.013
Post Development Catchment 203	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.014	0.017	0.019
Post Development Catchment 204	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.012	0.013
Post Development Catchment 205	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.012	0.013
Post Development Catchment 206	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.010	0.012	0.013
Post Development Catchment 207	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.008	0.009	0.011
Post Development Catchments 208-222	0.046	0.058	0.067	0.088	0.098	0.108
Post Development Catchment 223	0.024	0.030	0.035	0.045	0.055	0.063
Total Post Development Flow	0.111	0.137	0.159	0.208	0.243	0.271
Total Difference from Post to Pre	0.043	0.051	0.059	0.077	0.084	0.089
Post Development with SWM Catchment 201	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.008	0.009	0.010
Post Development with SWM Catchment 202	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007
Post Development with SWM Catchment 203	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.009	0.010
Post Development with SWM Catchment 204	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007
Post Development with SWM Catchment 205	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007
Post Development with SWM Catchment 206	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007
Post Development with SWM Catchment 207	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006
Post Development with SWM Catchments 208-222	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.013	0.015	0.016
Post Development with SWM Catchment 223 (uncontrolled)	0.024	0.030	0.035	0.045	0.055	0.063
Total Post Development Flow with SWM	0.053	0.066	0.076	0.100	0.119	0.134



APPENDIX E

**Bioretention Cell and Soakaway Pit Sizing Calculations** 

Storm Sewer System Sizing Calculations



760 Mosley Stre	et									Des	ign Parame	eters						
Town of Wasaga Bead	ch			5	STORM	SEWER	DESIGN	SHEET	100 YEAR STORM			5 YEAR STORM			PINESTONE ENGINE	EERING LTD.		
Project Number: Date: Design By: Checked By: File:	17-11290B May 1, 2017 BS BS Z:\Project Docume	ents\11290B Mosley St. Townhome	%\SWM.FSR\1129	Drainage Are	ENGINEERING AND PUBLIC WORKS       Q         Je Area Plan No:       SEE DRAINAGE FIGURE 3 IN SWM REPORT         wer Design Sheet (Owen Sound IDF).xls			Q=kAIR, k=0.00278Manning's "n"0.013Intensity (I) = $a/(tc+b)^c$ Min. Velocity0.800 m/s $a =$ 2721.062Max. Velocity6.000 m/s $b =$ 13.7983 $c =$ 0.8900		m/s m/s	Q=kAIR, k=0.00278       Manning's "n"         Intensity (I) = $a/(tc+b)c$ Min. Velocity         a =       1235.5320         b =       9.9424         c =       0.8452		Manning's "n" Min. Velocity Max. Velocity	0.01300 0.80000 m/s 6.00000 m/s				
	LOC	ATION					STOR	MWATER FLOW YEAR STORM						C	ESIGN			
STREET	AREA NUMBER	MANHOLE LOC FROM MH	ATION TO MH	AREA (A)	RUNOFF COEFF. (C)	A x C	CUMUL. A x C	CONCENTRAT TIME TOTAL	ION IN PIPE	RAIN INTENSITY (I)	FLOW (Q)	PIPE SIZE	LENGTH	SLOPE	CAPACITY	FULL FLOW VELOCITY	ACTUAL VELOCITY	% PIPE FULL
				ha		ha	ha	min	min	mm/hr	L/s	mm	т	%	L/s	m/s	m/s	%
* Townhouse block adjacent to south-east property limit	204-207	Bioretention Cell between Units 6/7	EX. CB #2	0.047	0.82	0.0385	0.0385	10.0000	1.3765	162.03639	17.26152	200	61.0	0.40	20.74355	0.6603	0.7386	83.21
* Townhouse block adjacent to north-west property limit	201-203	Bioretention Cell between Units 8/9	DICB#1	0.051	0.75	0.0380	0.0764	10.0000	0.7676	162.03639	34.29503	250	40.0	0.40	37.61057	0.7662	0.8685	91.18
Dunkerron Avenue	eastside road/blvd	DICB#1	DICB#2	0.086	0.52	0.0447	0.1211	10.7676	0.7240	95.35975	31.99554	300	38.0	0.40	61.15893	0.8652	0.8748	52.32
Dunkerron Avenue	eastside road/blvd	DICB#2	DICB#3	0.048	0.46	0.0221	0.1432	11.4916	0.4422	92.63010	36.74508	300	24.0	0.40	61.15893	0.8652	0.9045	60.08

* Sized for 100 year post development flow rate

#### 760 MOSLEY STREET TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT, WASAGA BEACH SOAKAWAY PIT SIZING Town of Wasaga Beach



Project Number:	17-11290B
Date:	September 15, 2017
Design By:	TG / BS
File:	Z:\Project Documents\11290B Mosley St. Townhomes\SWM.FSR\soakaway pit sizing.xlsx

# Depth of Stone Reservoir Sizing:

		Where:
	Dr max = i*ts/Vr	dr max = Maximum stone Reservoir Depth (mm) I = Infiltration rate for native soils (mm/hr) Vr - Void space ratio for aggregate used (typically 0.4 for 50mm clean stone) ts = Time to drain (design for 48 hour drain time recommended)
	i= 150 ts= 48 Vr= 0.4 Dr max= 18000	* Maximum recommended stone depth is 2 metres
Water Quality Volum	e:	M/L and
	WQV = C * <i>d</i> rain * A	wnere: WQV = 10 year water quality volume (m3) C = Runoff coefficient d rain = depth of rain (10 year 4 hr storm) (mm) A = Area of catchment (m2)
	C= 0.9 drain = 54.1 A= 74	
	WQV= 3.60	

#### Footpirnt Surface Area:

	Where:
Af = WQV/(dr*Vr)	Af - Footprint Surface area (m2) WQV = 10 year water quality volume (m3) dr = Stone Reservoir depth (m)
WQV=         3.60           dr =         2           Vr =         0.4	Vr - Void space ratio for aggregate used (typically 0.4 for 50mm clean stone)
Af = 4.50	

#### 760 MOSLEY STREET TOWNHOUSE DEVELOPMENT, WASAGA BEACH BIORETENTION CELL SIZING Town of Wasaga Beach



Project Number:	17-11290B
Date:	September 15, 2017
Design By:	TG / BS
File:	Z:\Project Documents\11290B Mosley St. Townhomes\SWM.FSR\soakaway pit sizing.xlsx

Sizing calculations based on recommendations provided in the Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide prepared by the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority and Credit Valley Conservation Authority.

#### For designs that include an underdrain, the stone reservoir is calculated using the following:

	dr max = Maximum depth of stone below the underdrain pipe (mm)
Dr max = i*ts/Vr	I = Infiltration rate for native soils (mm/hr)
	Vr - Void space ratio for aggregate used (typically 0.4 for 50mm clean stone)
	ts = Time to drain (design for 48 hour drain time recommended)
i= 150 ts= 48	
VI- 0.4	

#### Water Quality Volume:

	Where:
WQV = C * <i>d</i> rain * A	WQV = 10 year water quality volume (m3)
	C = Runoff coefficient
	d rain = depth of rain (10 year 4 hr storm) (mm)
	A = Area of catchment (m2)
C= 0.86	
d <i>rain</i> = 54.1	
A= 179	
WQV= 8.33	

#### Footprint Surface Area:

	Where:
Af = WQV/(dc*Vr)	Af - Footprint Surface area (m2) WQV = 10 year water quality volume (m3) dc. = Bioretention cell depth (m)
WQV=         8.33           dr =         2.4           Vr =         0.4	Vr - Void space ratio for aggregate used (typically 0.4 for 50mm clean stone)
Af = 8.68	

APPENDIX F

Drawings











SERV-1

0

17-11290-B

# <u>LEGEND</u>

	f
$\Theta$	f
0	I
2	
-0-	I
$\boxtimes$	I
$\bigcirc$	I
0	I
246.00	_
HWHW	
•	PR WA
	PR SA
-►►	PF
	PRO

PROPOSED SANITARY MANHOLE		
EX. HP/LS		
EX. CATCHBASIN		
EX. HYDRANT		
EX. VALVE AND BOX		
EX. SANITARY MANHOLE		
EX. STORM MANHOLE		
CONTOUR		
OVERHEAD HYDRO WIRE		
GRATED OVERFLOW PIPE		
PROPOSED 25mmø PE SERIES 160 WATER SERVICE C/W CSV		
PROPOSED 125mmø PVC DR28 SANITARY SERVICE		
PROPOSED SUBDRAIN		
ROPOSED TRANSFORMER		



PAVEMENT LAYER	COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS	LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT
ASPHALT	92.0 to 96.5% MRD	40mm OPSS HL 3 OR HL 4 50mm OPSS HL 8
ASPHALT OPSS GRAN'A' DR 20mm CRUSHER RUN LIMESTONE	100% SPMDD	150mm
OPSS GRAN 'B'	100% SPMDD	300mm

PROPOSED ELEVATION			
OP OF CURB ELEVATION			
ROPOSED SWALE ELEVATION			
XISTING ELEVATION			
IRECTION OF MAJOR OVERLAND LOW			
ROPOSED GRAVEL SHOULDER			
ROPOSED ASPHALT			
ROPOSED CATCHBASIN/DITCH INLET			
ROPOSED SANITARY MANHOLE			
IO-RETENTION CELL			

SOAK AWAY PIT

DIRECTION OF OVERLAND FLOW @ GRADIENT FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION

PROPOSED TRANSFORMER





# **GENERAL NOTES:**

- 1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETERS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- ALL WORK TO BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH STANDARDS AND OPSS. WHERE INCONSISTANCY OCCURS, TOWN STANDARDS GOVERN.
   CLEARSTONE WRAPPED IN FILTER FABRIC MAY BE SUBSTITUTED FOR PIPE BEDDING MATERIAL IF APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.
- 4. DEWATERING TO BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS-517 AND 518. THE OWNER IS
- RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING DEWATERING PERMITS AS REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN DRY TRENCH CONDITIONS.
- 5. UNDERGROUND UTILITIES TO BE VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION 6. HYDRO POLES TO BE SUPPORTED AND PROTECTED BY THE CONTRACTOR DURING CONSTRUCTION
- AS DIRECTED BY WASAGA DISTRIBUTION INC. AND BELL CANADA 7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE HIS WORK WITH UTILITIES WHICH MAY ALSO BE UNDER
- CONSTRUCTION 8. EXISTING GAS MAIN TO BE PROTECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ENBRIDGE GAS SPECIFICATIONS.
- 9. ALL EXISTING PAVED PRIVATE ENTRANCES TO BE REINSTATED WITH 50mm HL3 SURFACE COURSE AND 150mm GRANULAR 'A' BASE TO THE LIMITOF CONSTRUCTION.
- 10. ALL EXISTING GRAVEL OR GRASSED PRIVATE ENTRANCES TO BE REINSTATED WITH 150mm GRANULAR 'A' BASE TO LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION AND 50MM HL3 TO 2.8m BEHIND CURB. 11. ALL COMMERCIAL ENTRANCES TO BE REINSTATED WITH 50mm HL3 SURFACE COURSE, MATCH EXISTING ASPHALT BASE COURSE(S), 150mm GRANULAR 'A' BASE AND 150mm GRANULAR 'B'
- SUBBASE TO LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION. 12. JOINTS WITH EXISTING ASPHALT TO BE SAW CUT PRIOR TO PLACING NEW ASPHALT; DENSO REINSTATEMENT TAPE SHALL BE USED AT THE JOINT. SURFACE ASPHALT JOINTS TO HAVE MIN.
- 0.5m WIDE LAP JOINT. 13. ALL BOULEVARDS AND DISTURBED AREAS TO HAVE 100mm SCREENED TOPSOIL AND NURSERY
- SOD UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 14. PAVED BOULEVARD AREAS TO BE REINSTATED WITH 50mm HL3 SURFACE COURSE ASPHALT AND
- 150mm GRANULAR 'A' WHERE NOTED. 15. ACCESS TO BUSINESS AND RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES MUST BE MAINTAINED AT ALL TIMES. 16. THE CONTRACTOR MUST GIVE MIN. 48 HOURS NOTICE TO THE TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT THROUGH THE TOWN ENGINEER FOR OFFICIALS TO BE PRESENT FOR THE OPERATION OF VALVES, TESTING, DISINFECTION AND CONNECTION OF WATERMAIN AND TESTING
- OF SEWERS. 17. EARTH FILL MATERIAL UP TO AND INCLUDING SUBGRADE TO BE COMPACTED TO 95% STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY (SPMDD). GRANULAR BASE AND SUB-BASE TO BE COMPACTED TO 100% SPMDD. HOT MIX ASPHALT TO BE COMPACTED TO 97% SPMDD.
- 18. MINIMUM VERTICAL SEPARATION OF 150mm BETWEEN SEWERS AT CROSSINGS. 19. PRIOR TO THE COMMENT OF ON-SITE WORKS, INCLUDING TREE REMOVAL OR ROUGH GRADING, THE REQUIRED TREE HOARDING AND TREE PROTECTION BARRIERS/FENCING IS TO BE INSTALLED AROUND THE TREE(S) IDENTIFIED AS BEING RETAINED PER THE TREE PRESERVATION PLAN (TIPP-01)

# SEDIMENT & EROSION CONTROL NOTES:

- 1. ALL SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION AND SHALL REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL ALL DISTURBED AREAS HAVE BEEN STABILIZED. SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES THAT ARE DESIGNED TO CONTROL RUNOFF FROM SPECIFIC AREAS MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY DISTURBANCE OF THAT PART OF THE SITE. 2. THE CONTRACTOR MAY CONSIDER ALTERNATIVE SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES. SUCH
- MEASURES MUST BE PRESENTED IN WRITING FOR APPROVAL OF THE TOWN ENGINEER AND NOTTAWASAGA VALLEY CONSERVATION AUTHORITY. 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE MATERIALS AVAILABLE ON-SITE TO REPAIR SEDIMENT AND EROSION
- CONTROL MEASURES IN THE EVENT OF UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS: HIGH WATER, EXTREME RAINFALL EVENTS ETC. 4. ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES WILL BE INSPECTED BY THE ENGINEER BI-WEEKLY AND
- AFTER EACH MAJOR STORM EVENT. INSPECTION REPORTS TO BE FORWARDED TO THE TOWN ENGINEER BI-WEEKLY. AREAS THAT ARE UNDEVELOPED FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME SHALL BE REVEGITATED WITH TOPSOIL AND HYDRAULIC SEED AND MULCH AS DIRECTED BY THE TOWN.



ESIGN: MJP

STD.DWG.No.16



EX. 150mmø WATERMAIN







REQUIRED TO FIT SINGLE/DOUBLE CATCH BASINS.

DESCRIPTIONE DIVIDED INVESTIGATION OF THE SECTIONE OF DIVIDED INVESTIGATION OF THE SECTIONE OF DIVIDED IN THE SECTION OF THE				
Interface       Description of existing above provide and underground of developed and point the occurator of the position of such utilities and facilities is not guaranteed. Before starting work, the contractor shall confirm the exact of such utilities and facilities is not guaranteed. Before the occuration work is and such and be used for construction unless seeled to occurate and head the substruction of the substruction unless seeled to occurate and head the substruction unless seeled to occurate and head the substruction of the substruction of the substruction occurate and head the substruction occurate and head the substruction occurate and head thead to occurate and head thead the substruc	PINESTONE ENGINEERING LIMITED   www.pel.ca			
SITE       UBBR ST. S.         UNDERS       UBBR ST. S.         KEY MAP       UBBR ST. SURVEY COMPLETED BY DINO ASTRI SURVEYNO         SIENCHMARK       SIENATI THE SOUTHWEST COMPLETED BY DINO ASTRI SURVEYNO         SIENATI THE SOUTHWEST COMPLETED BY DINO ASTRI SURVEYNO       INCIDENT SIENATI THE SOUTHWEST COMPLETED BY DINO ASTRI SURVEYNO         SIENATI THE SOUTHWEST COMPLETED BY DINO ASTRI SURVEYNO       INCIDENT SIENATI THE SOUTHWEST COMPLETED BY DINO ASTRI SURVEYNO         SIENATI THE SOUTHWEST COMPLETED BY DINO ASTRI SURVEYNO       INCIDENT SIENATI THE SURVEYNO         SIENATI THE SOUTHWEST COMPLETED BY DINO ASTRI SURVEYNO       INCIDENT SIENATI THE SURVEYNO         SIENATI THE SOUTHWEST COMPLETED BY DINO ASTRI SURVEYNO       INCIDENT SIENATI THE SURVEYNO         SIENATI THE SOUTHWEST COMPLETED BY DINO ASTRI SURVEYNO       INCIDENT SIENATI THE SURVEYNO         SEAL       INCIDENT SIENATI THE SURVEYNO       INCIDENT SIENATI THE SURVEYNO SIENATI THE SURVEYNO SIENATI THE SURVEYNO SIENATI THE SURVEYNO SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	The position of existing above ground and underground utilities and facilities are not necessarily shown on the drawings, and where shown, the accuracy of the position of such utilities and facilities is not guaranteed. Before starting work, the contractor shall confirm the exact location of all existing utilities and facilities, and shall assume all liability for damage to them Drawings shall not be used for construction unless sealed and signed. All work to be performed in accordance with the Occupational Health & Safety Act 1990. Any errors and/or omissions shall be reported to Pinestone Engineering Ltd. without delay.			
SIL       IBTH ST. S.         CORGANN BAY       INSUL         WASAGA BEACH       INSUL         UNION       INSUL         KEY MAP       INSUL         NOTES       INSUL         Interview       SUPERIAL         Interview       Interview	SITE			
KEY MAP         NOTES         1. TOPOESA         DITO: OCTOBER 2016.         SISE AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL HAVING AN ELEVATION OF 180.55m         Image: Sise at the Southwest corner of Parcel Having an elevation of 180.55m         Image: Sise at the Southwest corner of Parcel Having an elevation of 180.55m         Image: Sise at the Southwest corner of Parcel Having an elevation of 180.55m         Image: Sise at the Southwest corner of Parcel Having an elevation of 180.55m         Image: Sise at the Southwest corner of Parcel Having an elevation of 180.55m         Image: Sise at the Southwest corner of Parcel Having an elevation of 180.55m         Image: Sise at the Southwest corner of Parcel Having an elevation of 180.55m         Image: Sise at the Southwest corner of Parcel Having an elevation of 180.55m         Stal       Image: Sise at the Southwest corner of Parcel Having an elevation of 180.55m         Stal       Image: Sise at the Southwest corner of Parcel Having an elevation of the southwest corner of 180.55m         Stal       Image: Sise at the southwest corner of the southwest corne southwest corner of the southwest corner of	GEORGIAN BAY			
KEY MAP         NOTES         1 TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY COMPLETED BY DINO ASTRI SURVEYING         IDD, OCTOBER 2015.         SEMERIT         SHIP         NORTH ARROW         SHIP         SHIP         SHIP         SHIP         SHIP         SHIP         SHIP         NORTH ARROW         SHIP	HWY 26	Series and a community		
NOTES	<u>KEY MAP</u>			
1. TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY COMPLETED BY DINO ASTRI SURVEYING         IDD. OCTOBER 2016.         IDD. IDD. IDD. S5m         IDD. IDD. IDD. IDD. IDD. IDD. IDD. IDD.	<u>NOTES</u>			
BENCHMARK  TBM# TBM# THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL HAVING AN ELEVATION OF 180.55m  TBM# TBM# TBM# TBM# TBM# TBM# TBM# TBM	1. TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY COMPLE LTD., OCTOBER 2016.	ETED BY DINO ASTRI SURVEYING		
DELIVOR HWY ALKING         Image: SSIB AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL HAVING AN ELEVATION OF 180.55m         Image: Ima				
SSIB AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL HAVING AN ELEVATION OF 180.55m         Image: Source of the				
Image: Seal state of the second sta	SSIB AT THE SOUTHWEST C ELEVATION OF 180.55m	ORNER OF PARCEL HAVING AN		
Image: Seal search of the second search of the search o				
Image: Seal with a series of the second s				
NO.       YY.MM.DD       REVISION       BY         SEAL       NORTH ARROW       Image: Constraint of the con				
NO.     YY.MM.DD     REVISION     BY       SEAL     NORTH ARROW       Image: second sec				
ISEAL NORTH ARROW	NO. YY.MM.DD REVIS	SION BY		
DESIGN BY:       T.G./B.S.         DRAWN BY:       G.N.         CHECKED       J.V.         DATE:       SEP TEMBER 2017         SCALE:       1: 250	SEAL	NORTH ARROW		
DRAWN BY:       G.N.         CHECKED       J.V.         DATE:       SEPTEMBER 2017         SCALE:       1: 250		DESIGN BY: T.G./B.S.		
CLIENT/PROJECT DRAWING TITLE DRAWING TITLE DECOMPOSITION OF WASAGA BEACH	SAP PROFESSIONAL SK	DRAWN BY: G.N.		
CLIENT/PROJECT CLIENT/PROJECT 760 MOSLEY STREET ADA HOMES TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH DRAWING TITLE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	J. H. VOISIN 100172758	DATE: SEDTEMPER 2017		
CLIENT/PROJECT 760 MOSLEY STREET ADA HOMES TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH DRAWING TITLE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	SCALE: 1:250			
CLIENT/PROJECT 760 MOSLEY STREET ADA HOMES TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH DRAWING TITLE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	WCE OF ON UP			
EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	CLIENT/PROJECT 760 MOSLEY STREET ADA HOMES TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH			
	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN			

0
## **GENERAL NOTES:**

- ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETERS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- ALL WORK TO BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH STANDARDS AND OPSS. WHERE INCONSISTENCY OCCURS, TOWN STANDARDS GOVERN. CLEAR STONE WRAPPED IN FILTER FABRIC MAY BE SUBSTITUTED FOR PIPE
- BEDDING MATERIAL IF APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER. DEWATERING TO BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS-517 AND 518. THE OWNER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING DEWATERING PERMITS AS REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN DRY TRENCH CONDITIONS.
- UNDERGROUND UTILITITES TO BE VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION.
- HYDRO POLES TO BE SUPPORTED AND PROTECTED BY THE CONTRACTOR DURING CONSTRUCTED AS DIRECTED BY WASAGA DISTRIBUTION INC.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE HIS WORK WITH UTILITIES WHICH MAY ALSO BE UNDER CONSTRUCTION.
- EXISTING GAS MAIN TO BE PROTECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ENBRIDGE
- GAS SPECIFICATIONS. ALL EXISTING PAVED PRIVATE ENTRANCES TO BE REINSTATED WITH 50mm HL3 SURFACE COURSE AND 150mm GRANULAR 'A' BASE TO LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 10. ALL EXISTING GRAVEL OR GRASSED PRIVATE ENTRANCES TO BE REINSTATED WITH 150mm GRANULAR 'A' BASE TO LIMITS OF
- CONSTRUCTION AND 50mm HL3 TO 2.75m BEHIND CURB. . ALL COMMERCIAL ENTRANCES TO BE REINSTATED WITH 50mm HL3 SURFACE COURSE. MATCH EXISTING ASPHALT BASE COURSE(S), 150mm GRANULAR 'A' BASE AND 150mm GRANULAR 'B' SUBBASE TO LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION.
- 12. JOINTS WITH EXISTING ASPHALT TO BE SAW CUT PRIOR TO PLACING NEW ASPHALT; DENSO REINSTATEMENT TAPE SHALL BE USED AT THE JOINT OF SURFACE ASPHALT. SURFACE ASPHALT JOINTS TO HAVE MIN. 0.5m WIDE LAP JOINT.
- 13. ALL BOULEVARDS AND DISTURBED AREAS TO HAVE 150mm SCREENED TOPSOIL AND NURSERY SOD UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 14. PAVED BOULEVARD AREAS TO BE REINSTATED WITH 50mm HL3 SURFACE COURSE
- ASPHALT AND 150mm GRANULAR 'A' WHERE NOTED.
- 15. ACCESS TO BUSINESS AND RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES MUST BE MAINTAINED AT ALL TIMES.
- 16. THE CONTRACTOR MUST GIVE MIN. 48 HOURS NOTICE TO THE TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT THROUGH THE TOWN ENGINEER FOR OFFICIALS TO BE PRESENT FOR THE OPERATION OF VALVES, TESTING, DISINFECTION AND CONNECTION OF WATERMAIN AND TESTING OF SEWERS.
- 7. EARTH FILL MATERIAL UP TO AND INCLUDING SUBGRADE TO BE COMPACTED TO 95% STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY (SPMDD). GRANULAR BASE AND SUB-BASE TO BE COMPACTED TO 100% SPMDD. HOT-MIX ASPHALT TO BE
- 18. MINIMUM VERTICAL SEPARATION OF 150mm BETWEEN SEWERS AT CROSSINGS.
- 19. THE CONTRACTOR MUST OBTAIN A ROAD OCCUPATION PERMIT FROM PUBLIC WORKS
- 20. ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE REINSTATED TO EXISTING CONDITION OR BETTER.

TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH

DATE: MAR 2015

STD.DWG.No.14A

TYPICAL WATER

METER INSTALLATION

25mmø TO 50mmø

DRAWN: TMM SCALE: N.T.S.

DESIGN: MJP/GER

SERVICE Ø

SENSUS

METER SIZE 'M' (mm) MODEL 25 IPERL 38 OMNIR2

 38
 38
 OMNIR2

 50
 50
 OMNIR2

 METER SIZE MAY CHANGE BASED ON DEMAND

METER

- SEDIMENT & EROSION CONTROL NOTES:
- 1. ALL SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION AND SHALL REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL ALL DISTURBED AREAS HAVE BEEN STABILIZED. SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES THAT ARE DESIGNED TO CONTROL RUNOFF FROM SPECIFIC AREAS MUST BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY DISTURBANCE OF THAT PART OF THE SITE.
- 2. THE CONTRACTOR MAY CONSIDER ALTERNATIVE SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES. SUCH MEASURES MUST BE PRESENTED IN WRITING FOR APPROVAL OF THE TOWN ENGINEER AND THE NOTTAWASAGA VALLEY CONSERVATION AUTHORITY.
- 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE MATERIALS AVAILABLE ON-SITE TO REPAIR SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES IN THE EVENT OF UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS: HIGH WATER, EXTREME RAINFALL EVENTS ETC.
- 4. ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES WILL BE INSPECTED BY THE ENGINEER BI-WEEKLY AND AFTER EACH MAJOR STORM EVENT. INSPECTION REPORTS TO BE FORWARDED TO THE TOWN ENGINEER
- BI-WEEKLY. AREAS THAT ARE UNDEVELOPED FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME SHALL BE REVEGETATED WITH TOPSOIL AND HYDRAULIC SEED AND MULCH AS DIRECTED BY THE TOWN.

## STORM SEWER:

- 1. ALL MATERIALS SHALL BE CSA CERTIFIED AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
- TOWN APPROVED MATERIALS LIST. 2. CLASS 'B' BEDDING AND COVER AS PER OPSD-802.030 (RIGID PIPE) OR
- EMBEDMENT AS PER OPSD-802.010 (FLEXIBLE PIPE) USING GRANULAR 'A'. USE SELECT NATIVE MATERIAL COMPACTED TO 95% MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY FOR COVER MATERIAL.
- 3. CATCHBASINS & MANHOLES TO BE BACKFILLED WITH SELECT NATIVE MATERIAL AND COMPACTED TO 95% MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY.
- . STEPS AS PER OPSD-405.010 HOLLOW CIRCULAR ALUMINUM
- 5. CATCHBASIN LEADS; 300mm DIA. FOR SINGLE AND DOUBLE CATCHBASINS.
- 6. CATCHBASIN FRAMES AND COVERS PER OPSD 400.020. 7. STORM SEWER SHALL BE CCTV INSPECTED.



## WATERMAIN: SANITARY SEWER: ALL MATERIALS SHALL BE CSA CERTIFIED AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 1. ALL MATERIALS SHALL BE CSA CERTIFIED AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TOWN APPROVED MATERIALS LIST. TOWN APPROVED MATERIALS LIST. ALL WATERMAIN TO HAVE MINIMUM 1.7m COVER OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT 2. BEDDING AS PER OPSD-802.010 USING GRANULAR 'A' COMPACTED TO 95% FROST PROTECTION WITH INSULATION. MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY. USE SELECTED SITE MATERIAL FOR BACKFILL BEDDING AND BACKFILL IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS-411. COMPACTED TO 95% MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY. 4. PVC PIPE INSTALLATION TO INCLUDE 12awg TWH SOLID PLASTIC COVERED 3. SANITARY SERVICE LATERALS COMPLETE WITH CLEANOUT TO BE INSTALLED TRACER WIRE, TWU 75°C 600V OR APPROVED EQUAL. TRACER WIRE PER TOWN STD. DWG No. 12. CONTINUITY MUST BE TESTED & CERTIFIED BY PUBLIC WORKS STAFF 4. LOT SERVICE LOCATIONS TO BE VERIFIED BY CONTRACTOR. CATHODIC PROTECTION (S-12 ZINC ANODES @ 30m SPACING) TO BE 5. MH'S PER OPSD-701.010 WITH FROST STRAPS PER OPSD 701.100 WITH PROVIDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS-442 AS REQUIRED BY THE "QUICK ANCHORED" BOLTS. GEOTECHNICAL REPORT. 6. FRAMES AND COVERS PER OPSD-401.010 TYPE 'A'. 6. CLASS 'B' BEDDING AS PER OPSD-802.030 (RIGID PIPE) OR BEDDING AS 7. MH BENCHING PER OPSD-701.021 AND STEPS PER OPSD-405.010 CIRCULAR PER OPSD-802.010 (FLEXIBLE PIPE) USING GRANULAR 'A'. ALUMINUM. THRUST PROTECTION SHALL BE PROVIDED USING MECHANICAL JOINT 8. SANITARY SEWER TESTING SHALL INCLUDE INFILTRATION, EXFILTRATION, FITTINGS AND RESTRAINERS. DEFLECTION (MANDREL) AND CCTV. 8. GATE VALVES TO BE LEFT HAND OPENING COMPLETE WITH SLIDE TYPE VALVE BOXES 125mm DIA. WITH LIDS MARKED WATER AS PER TOWN APPROVED MATERIAL AND PRODUCT LIST. WATER SERVICES COMPLETE WITH MAIN STOP TO BE AS PER TOWN APPROVED MATERIAL AND PRODUCT LIST. 10. WHERE RESIDENTIAL WATER SERVICES ARE TO BE ABANDONED, EXPOSE MAIN STOP, CLOSE AND DISCONNECT SERVICE PIPE, AND SALVAGE THE CURB STOP AND RETURN TO PUBLIC WORKS YARD. 11. ALL WATERMAINS AND SERVICES SHALL BE BACKFILLED WITH APPROVED SITE MATERIAL. ALL BACKFILL SHALL BE COMPACTED TO 95% MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY AS PER OPSS 514. ALL GRANULAR ROAD BASE SHALL BE COMPACTED TO 100% MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY. 12. EXISTING SERVICE LOCATIONS TO BE VERIFIED IN THE FIELD. 13. HYDRANT TO BE AS PER TOWN APPROVED MATERIAL AND PRODUCT LIST WITH MECHANICAL JOINT ENDS. WITH 2-50mm PORTS AND FACTORY INSTALLED STORZ FITTING PER OPSD-1105.010, INCLUDING GALVANIZED CHAIN CONNECTION FOR CAPS. 14. TESTING CONNECTION TO THE MUNICIPAL WATER SYSTEM SHALL BE PER TOWN STD. DWG. No. 13. 15. MINIMUM VERTICAL SEPARATION 500mm BETWEEN WATERMAINS AND SEWERS. MINIMUM HORIZONTAL SEPARATION OF 2.5m BETWEEN WATERMAINS AND SEWERS. 16. WATERMAINS SHALL BE SWABBED, FLUSHED, DISINFECTED AND TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 441 WITH TOWN OFFICIALS PRESENT. 17. DISINFECTING OF WATERMAINS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST **REVISION OF AWWA C651 SPECIFICATIONS.** TOWN OF WASAGA BEACH GENERAL NOTES SCALE: N.T.S. OP AT DRAWN: TMM



ERE IS NO ON SYSTEM, RADING SHALL FACE WATER TRATION.	TOWN OF WA	SAGA BEACH	OF WASAGA
	OFF ROAD CATCHBASIN AND BOULEVARD GRADING DETAIL		
	DRAWN: TMM	SCALE: N.T.S.	PORATEV
	DESIGN: MJP	PLOT: 1=1	
	CHECKED: MJP	DATE: MAR 2015	STD.DWG.No.10



PLOT: 1=1

DATE: MAR 2015

DESIGN: MJP

CHECKED: MJP



17-11290-B

DET-1

0

